Check for updates

Determinants of behaviour and their efficacy as targets of behavioural change interventions

Dolores Albarracín 🕲 ^{1,2,3,4,5} 🖂, Bita Fayaz-Farkhad² & Javier A. Granados Samayoa^{1,3}

Abstract

Unprecedented social, environmental, political and economic challenges – such as pandemics and epidemics, environmental degradation and community violence – require taking stock of how to promote behaviours that benefit individuals and society at large. In this Review, we synthesize multidisciplinary meta-analyses of the individual and social-structural determinants of behaviour (for example, beliefs and norms, respectively) and the efficacy of behavioural change interventions that target them. We find that, across domains, interventions designed to change individual determinants can be ordered by increasing impact as those targeting knowledge, general skills, general attitudes, beliefs, emotions, behavioural skills, behavioural attitudes and habits. Interventions designed to change social-structural determinants can be ordered by increasing impact as legal and administrative sanctions; programmes that increase institutional trustworthiness; interventions to change injunctive norms; monitors and reminders; descriptive norm interventions; material incentives; social support provision; and policies that increase access to a particular behaviour. We find similar patterns for health and environmental behavioural change specifically. Thus, policymakers should focus on interventions that enable individuals to circumvent obstacles to enacting desirable behaviours rather than targeting salient but ineffective determinants of behaviour such as knowledge and beliefs.

¹Department of Psychology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ²Annenberg School of Communication, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ³Annenberg Public Policy Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ⁴Department of Community and Family Health, School of Nursing, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ⁵Department of Health Care Management, Wharton School of Business, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ^{Sem}

Sections

Introduction

Behavioural determinants

Individual determinants and interventions

Social-structural determinants and interventions

Summary and future directions

Introduction

During the past 5 years, humanity has been confronted with extraordinary social, environmental, political and economic challenges, including pandemics and epidemics, threats to natural habitats and climate, and community, state and police violence. The science of behaviour change can identify efficacious interventions to change behaviours that might be central to solving these crises. Thus, it is important to understand the degree to which correcting misinformation, modifying cultural beliefs, or changing norms or legal sanctions will, for example, increase vaccination or decrease energy usage.

Previous work has provided taxonomies of the tools available to change behaviour¹⁻³. For example, a review and expert judgements were used to classify behavioural change interventions, determine whether they were based on behavioural change principles and, then, organize them into displays that enable practitioners to visualize possible tools at their disposal^{4,5}. However, despite its descriptive value, this taxonomy is not informative about the relative intervention efficacy of different approaches. An intervention based on 'behavioural change principles' does not guarantee success, therefore leaving the question of efficacy unaddressed.

Other relevant work has produced estimates of specific strategies across behaviours, but these estimates are typically obtained by comparison with a control group rather than other strategies⁶⁻⁹. For example, past reviews of the efficacy of implementation intentions (forming if-then plans to execute a behaviour) or normative appeals¹⁰⁻¹³ are not informative about whether implementation intentions are more or less efficacious than behavioural skills training, or whether interventions that make group norms more apparent are more or less efficacious than programmes that aim to increase the trustworthiness of institutions.

Existing reviews that do compare the efficacy of interventions across different targets have been circumscribed to specific domains, such as health¹⁴, climate change mitigation¹⁵ and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) prevention and care¹⁶⁻¹⁸. This traditional focus on single behavioural domains might arise because research funding is often allocated by problem (as illustrated by the disease-specific organization of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the main health research funding agency in the USA) or because researchers are often trained in siloes and assume that each issue is unique.

From a theoretical standpoint, understanding a broad spectrum of behavioural domains is critical to a generalizable behavioural change model. From a practical standpoint, new behavioural change challenges will continue to surface. For example, before the COVID-19 pandemic, no research had examined how to promote widespread masking, social distancing or adherence to lockdown measures. Thus, reviewing targets of behavioural change across domains is essential for well-informed public health decisions in unprecedented situations.

In this Review, we synthesize disparate bodies of research to facilitate decisions about what behavioural change targets to choose when designing an intervention. First, we define a parsimonious set of individual and social-structural determinants of behaviour based on existing theories, supplemented by an extensive review of the literature and author verification that the final groupings were meaningful, parsimonious and relatively homogeneous. Next, we summarize the metaanalytic evidence for correlations between each naturally occurring determinant (for example, knowledge) and behaviour, as well as metaanalytic effect sizes for experimental and quasi-experimental tests of the efficacy of behavioural interventions that target that determinant (for example, interventions that provide information to increase knowledge). We conclude by organizing intervention approaches into an empirical model of behavioural change based on their efficacy to provide a picture of general principles that can inform intervention decisions for new or understudied behaviours.

Our Review includes all identified meta-analyses of behaviour prediction or intervention efficacy across domains (Supplementary Note 1) based on clearly classifiable determinants, targets of change and behavioural outcomes. However, although interventions designed to change a particular target are assumed to change that specific target¹⁶, they might exert an array of effects. For example, an intervention that communicates that neighbours use less energy might influence both descriptive norms and positive attitudes towards conserving energy¹⁹. Verifying all possible mechanisms of effects is outside the scope of this Review.

We concentrated on what targets might be most effective, which is the first critical question when designing a programme to change behaviour. For example, deciding whether to instil pro-vaccination norms, combat conspiracy theories about vaccination or add vaccination sites is essential to the public health management of a pandemic. However, implementing interventions once a target of change is selected brings up a different set of questions that are outside the scope of this Review. Although we briefly describe what interventions often do, readers should review the primary research literature to determine what the most successful interventions within a given target look like. After all, reviewing intervention manuals is critical to a faithful programme implementation²⁰⁻²².

Behavioural determinants

Individual factors are at the centre of behavioural prediction and change models such as the reasoned action approach^{20–25}, the information– motivation–behavioural-skills model^{16,20,23,26–29} and social cognitive theory^{25,30–32}. These models collectively suggest that knowledge (a collection of facts about an object of behaviour, typically held with certainty even though they might be factually incorrect³²), beliefs (probability judgements about an object in connection with an attribute or an outcome³²), general and behavioural attitudes (evaluations of objects or behaviours, respectively, along a positive–negative dimension³³), emotions (visceral feelings associated with an object or behaviour³³), general and specific skills (cognitive skills involved in self-control³⁰ or domain-specific cognitive or motor skills, respectively³⁰) and habits (repeated, automated behaviours that continue even in the absence of rewards³⁴) are important determinants of behaviour and/or potential targets for behaviour change.

For example, according to the reasoned action approach, beliefs that performing a behaviour will lead to various outcomes and the evaluations of those outcomes influence attitudes and subsequent intentions to execute a behaviour²⁰. According to the information-motivation-behavioural-skills model, information entails knowledge about the behaviour in question, motivation comprises attitudes, norms and intentions, and behavioural skills encompass routines that facilitate a behaviour and associated feelings of self-efficacy or perceived behavioural control^{24,27,30,35}. Emotions, habits, general attitudes and general skills are part of the integrative model of behavioural prediction and change²¹ and have been shown to be important for self-regulation²⁹. They are also incorporated as external variables within the reasoned action approach²⁹.

One problem with existing models of behavioural prediction and change is a relative neglect of social and structural factors^{2,36}. For example, although the reasoned action approach posits that social norms influence intentions, intentions are still an individual factor.

Similarly, even though social cognitive theory emphasizes the impact of others as models of behaviour³⁰, the theory also includes self-efficacy and personal agency, which are individual factors.

Nevertheless, several theories suggest important social-structural determinants of behaviour that could be targets of behavioural change. For example, there are theoretical distinctions between injunctive norms (perceptions of the degree to which others support a person's behaviour^{20,37}) and descriptive norms (subjective estimates of the frequency of a behaviour in a particular population 3^{38-41} , and these two norms do not always correlate with each other $(r = 0.1-0.4)^{42-44}$. There are also theoretical distinctions between regulatory and distributed policies⁴⁵, which led to our decision to separate formal legal and administrative sanctions (legal and administrative instruments to ban or punish a behaviour) from institutional trustworthiness (justice or fairness within an organization or government entity, which increases trust and reduces vigilance⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸), which can often be achieved in informal ways such as demonstrating benevolence (ref. 49 and A. H. Jung et al., unpublished data). Moreover, material incentives (provision of financial or non-financial rewards) can affect the motivation to perform a behaviour and are theoretically important drivers of behaviour^{50,51}.

The literature also suggests other social-structural factors that might be particularly relevant for determining behaviour and driving behaviour change. For example, a large literature suggests that social support influences human behaviour⁵², and increasing the feasibility of behaviour such as through access and defaults² (material or logistic resources to facilitate the performance of a behaviour) or monitors and reminders^{53,54} (physical or digital instruments that track behavioural performance and alert users of the need to execute a behaviour) is an important aspect of intervention design^{53,54}.

In sum, the classification of individual and social-structural determinants of behaviour we use in subsequent sections based on the above considerations is more comprehensive and theory-based than classifications of nudges¹ and considerably more parsimonious and theory-driven than classifications of behavioural change techniques⁵⁵.

Individual determinants and interventions

Individual determinants of behaviour include knowledge, beliefs, attitudes, emotions, skills and habits (Table 1). In this section, we synthesize results from meta-analyses of correlational studies that measure the determinant along with the behaviour in question (Supplementary Table 1) and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials, quasiexperimental studies and laboratory research of behavioural change interventions based on these determinants (Supplementary Table 2). Determinants are discussed in order from least to most effective when targeted by interventions.

In comparing effect sizes across studies, readers should keep in mind their meaning (Table 2) and interpretational limitations. For example, in a correlational study, an odds ratio of 2 between knowledge and behaviour implies that for each increasing unit in the measure of knowledge, the probability of behaviour doubles. However, correlational studies do not inform the degree to which changing knowledge will produce a change in behaviour. Similarly, in an intervention context, an odds ratio of 2 implies that the behaviour is twice as likely following exposure to a knowledge-based intervention relative to the control group. However, in both cases, the ultimate meaning of the effect size depends on the baseline probability of executing the behaviour. An odds ratio of 2 implies much greater savings in energy if 30% of the control group saves energy than if only 3% of the control does so.

Knowledge

Knowledge links an object or behaviour to an attribute or event with absolute certainty and is often formally imparted through educational efforts. For example, knowledge that a COVID-19 vaccine exists or that human activity contributes to climate change is accepted by many individuals and endorsed by governments. The associations between knowledge and behaviour are often studied under the umbrella of 'literacy', which involves a body of facts and mental models in a particular domain. For example, financial literacy (a person's financial knowledge^{56,57}) correlates with desirable financial behaviours at r = 0.29(ref. 57). However, the association between financial literacy and behaviour is extremely small (r = 0.09) when the behaviour is measured after the measure of literacy was obtained instead of before (ref. 57).

There is also extensive research on the relation between literacy and behaviour in the health and environment domains, but effects are small (Supplementary Table 1). For example, there is a negligible association between oral health literacy and visiting the dentist (OR = 1.25)⁵⁸ and between HIV knowledge and actual condom use (r = 0.06)⁴⁴, and small associations between recycling literacy and recycling (r = 0.20)⁵⁹ and between climate change knowledge and climate change-adaptation behaviours such as supporting environmentally friendly policies or relocating in response to climate change (r = 0.14)⁶⁰. One potential explanation for the lack of a sizable correlation between knowledge and behaviour overall (Fig. 1a) is that the knowledge is only tenuously related to the behaviours being studied. For example, knowledge related to alcohol and its effects might be inconsequential if drinking is related to normative or other beliefs²⁰.

Interventions that target knowledge involve education (for example, systematic instruction to individuals or groups) and other didactic approaches intended to reduce a knowledge deficit. Meta-analyses of behavioural effects suggest that these interventions produce negligible effects (Fig. 1b). For example, educational approaches have a negligible effect on climate change mitigation $(d = 0.09)^{15}$. Similarly, a meta-analysis of vaccination interventions showed that neither providing information in general nor attempting to correct misinformation increases vaccination uptake (OR = 1.04 and OR = 0.94, respectively) (S. Liu et al., unpublished).

Importantly, some of the effect sizes derived from the correlational evidence are larger than the largest effects obtained from intervention studies. Thus, using correlational evidence to make inferences about interventions might lead to the selection of ineffective programmes. Even more critical is the fact that the efficacy of knowledge as a target of change is negligible. From this standpoint, building a campaign or programmes to increase knowledge is likely to leave policymakers and constituents disappointed.

General skills

Broad behavioural and cognitive skills (for example, the ability to control attention during tasks or inhibit temptations when behaviours require high levels of self-control) are small predictors of behaviour (Fig. 1a). For example, prosocial skills are not significantly correlated with obtaining employment during adolescence (overall $OR = 1.03)^{61}$ and executive functioning skills (which comprise inhibitory control and cognitive flexibility) correlate only at r = -0.14 with disinhibited eating⁶².

Many behavioural change programmes have emphasized the need to train general skills that might help individuals to control undesirable behaviours⁶². Other interventions are based on mindfulness principles, with the rationale that mindfulness can reduce aggression and other

Table 1 | Individual determinants of behaviour and associated measures and interventions

Definition	Example measures	Example interventions
Collection of facts about an object or behaviour, which can include information about the properties and consequences of a particular object or event, such as a virus or pollution; knowledge links an object or behaviour to an attribute or event with absolute certainty	Measure of literacy: "Contact with a dirty toilet is a common cause of venereal disease or sexually transmitted disease" (participant responds 'true' or 'false') ²¹³	Health education Didactic instruction about climate change in schools
Cognitive or overt routines that enable individuals to carry out various specific behaviours; they involve broad capacities such as controlling attention during tasks and being able to inhibit temptations when behaviours require high levels of self-control	Self-report measures of self-control, which include statements about a person's ability to make a plan or avoid temptations ²¹⁴	Behavioural change programmes emphasizing the need to train general skills that might help individuals to control undesirable behaviours ¹³⁷
Evaluations of objects, persons and events; for example, prejudice is a negative judgement of a group as the attitude object, and an attitude towards cars is a positive or negative evaluation of cars as the attitude object; this type of attitude is often termed 'attitude towards the target ^{23,215}	Likert-scale measure of attitudes towards environmental protections: "Humans are severely abusing the environment" ²¹⁶ Implicit attitude test concerning alcohol ²¹⁷	Mass-media health-promotion campaigns about a behaviour ⁷⁹ Interventions aimed at weakening associations by instilling goals and threat ⁸⁰
Subjective assignments of probability that an object or behaviour has a given attribute or outcome ^{32,218}	Self-report measure of conspiracy beliefs: "To what extent do you think the virus is part of a biological warfare program?" ²¹⁹	Messages that explicitly introduce expectations about a behaviour Growth mindset interventions in academic settings
Visceral feelings (for example, happiness or fear) associated with a particular object, person or event; experiencing fear of climate change or disgust about a particular group of individuals are examples of emotion	Likert-scale measure of emotions towards COVID-19: "I feel fearful about COVID-19" ²²⁰	Emotional appeals that sensitize audiences to risks and include discussion of the threat posed by a problem or the audience's susceptibility to it
Routines that enable people to execute a target behaviour, often reflected in higher levels of perceived control or efficacy concerning the behaviour ^{30,134,218}	Self-report measure of behavioural control and confidence to perform or abstain from a behaviour: "If I wanted to, it would be easy for me to exercise for at least twenty minutes, three times a week for the next fortnight" ²²¹	Practising and receiving feedback on the behaviour and performing homework related to the behaviour ^{27/29} Asking individuals to formulate implementation intentions ^{222,223}
Evaluations of a behaviour as good or bad; for example, whereas an attitude towards cars is a general attitude, an attitude towards driving a car for transportation is a behavioural attitude; this type of attitude is often referred to as 'attitude towards the behaviour' ²³	Semantic differential measures of attitudes linking recycling to adjectives such as good or bad: "Recycling household waste for me is something" (participant selects from five-point response scale anchored by adjectives 'good' and 'bad') ²²⁴	Mode of questioning designed to uncover and reduce attitudinal ambivalence towards a particular behaviour ^{144,145}
Behavioural routines that have acquired features of automaticity ²²⁵ , meaning that they occur efficiently, without awareness, or continue even without intention and after they are no longer adaptive ^{151,226}	Measure of handwashing habit: "Washing my hands would require effort not to do" ²²⁷	Training to stop a behaviour when faced with temptations ¹⁵⁷¹⁵⁸ Introducing environmental regularity to promote habit formation ¹⁵⁰ Distracting oneself from behavioural cues ¹⁵⁹
	DefinitionCollection of facts about an object or behaviour, which can include information about the properties and consequences of a particular object or event, such as a virus or pollution; knowledge links an object or behaviour to an attribute or event with absolute certaintyCognitive or overt routines that enable individuals to carry out various specific behaviours; they involve broad capacities such as controlling attention during tasks and being able to inhibit temptations when behaviours require high levels of self-controlEvaluations of objects, persons and events; for example, prejudice is a negative judgement of a group as the attitude object, and an attitude towards cars is a positive or negative evaluation of cars as the attitude object; this type of attitude is often termed 'attitude towards the target' ^{23,215} Subjective assignments of probability that an object or behaviour has a given attribute or outcome ^{32,218} Visceral feelings (for example, happiness or fear) associated with a particular object, person or event; experiencing fear of climate change or disgust about a particular group of individuals are examples of emotionRoutines that enable people to execute a target behaviour, often reflected in higher levels of perceived control or efficacy concerning the behaviour ^{30134,218} Evaluations of a behaviour as good or bad; for example, whereas an attitude towards cars is a general attitude, an attitud	DefinitionExample measuresCollection of facts about an object or behaviour, which can include information about the properties and consequences of a particular object or event, such as a virus or pollution; knowledge links an object or behaviour to an attribute or event with aboute certaintyMeasure of literacy: "Contact with a dirty toilet is a common cause of venereal disease or sexually transmitted disease" (participant responds 'true' or 'false')*3Cognitive or overt routines that enable individuals to carry out various specific behaviours; they attention during tasks and being able to inhibit ergroup at the attitude object, and an attitude to faste or peladice is a negative judgement of argoup as the attitude object, this type of attitude is often termed 'attitude towards the target' ^{62,05} Self-report measure of attitudes towards environmental protections: "Hummas are severely abusing the environment" ¹⁵⁶ Implicit attitude test concerning alcohol ²⁰⁷ Visceral feelings (for example, happiness or fear) associated with a particular object, person or event; experiencing fear of climate change or disgust about a particular group of individuals are examples of emotionSelf-report measure of conspiracy beliefs: "To what extent do you think the virus is part of a biological warfare program?*****Visceral feelings (for example, happiness or fear) disgust about a particular group of individuals are examples of emotionSelf-report measure of behavioural control and confidence to perform or abstain from a behaviour."************************************

impulsive behaviours. A meta-analysis of mindfulness interventions for children and adolescents found a small effect on reducing negative behaviours (d = 0.21)⁶³. Overall, the effect of general skills interventions is negligible (Fig. 1b).

General attitudes

Psychologists have long considered whether general attitudes towards objects (for example, attitudes towards recycling) predict behaviour (for example, actual recycling). A narrative review from the late 1970s found that of 54 studies of the relation between general attitudes and behaviour, 25 showed null results and those that showed significant results rarely exceeded an effect size of r = 0.40 (ref. 64). More recent meta-analyses suggest that the relation between general attitudes and behaviour is quite small (d = 0.22 (ref. 65) and r = 0.14 (ref. 66)), whereas others suggest that the relation is much stronger (r = 0.39)⁶⁷.

An interesting wrinkle in the study of general attitudes is the proposal that researchers measure implicit attitudes in addition to the traditional measures of attitudes used in the meta-analyses described above. Implicit attitude measures are designed to capture relatively automatic evaluative responses through spontaneous participants' judgements or timed responses to a task^{68–71}. In the implicit association test, for example, implicit attitudes are measured by comparing the time required to pair an object with the concept 'good' with the time required to pair an object with the concept 'bad'⁷². However, these measures have produced negligible to medium associations with behaviour as well. For example, in the area of substance use, there is a medium association between implicit attitudes towards legal and illegal psychoactive substances and substance use (r = 0.27)⁷³.

Whereas the overall association between general attitudes and specific behaviours is medium in size (Fig. 1a), the effect size

corresponding to intervention efficacy is negligible (Fig. 1b). For example, a meta-analysis of mass-media health-promotion campaigns revealed a negligible effect on behaviour change $(r = 0.05)^{74}$. Moreover, a meta-analysis found that although various techniques led to shifts in implicit attitudes, these trainings had little effect on behaviour. For example, interventions that aimed to weaken associations between an object and a particular evaluation had a negligible influence on behaviour $(g = -0.10)^{75}$.

Clearly, people report general attitudes that correlate with their behaviours even though attempts at changing these attitudes have a much lower efficacy potential than the correlational evidence suggests. It might be that people rationalize their behaviour when they report general attitudes (consistent with research on cognitive dissonance and self-perception⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸), even though those attitudes did not have a causal role in producing behaviour. Regardless, general attitudes are relatively inconsequential targets of change.

Beliefs

Similar to knowledge, specific beliefs about an object or behaviour have positive relations to behavioural performance (Fig. 1a). However, there is a range of effect sizes across domains, with larger effect sizes for environmental versus health behaviours. For example, a meta-analysis of the determinants of recycling found medium correlations between expectations of positive feelings if one recycles or negative feelings if one does not recycle correlate with actual recycling (r = 0.26)⁵⁹ (note that expectations of feelings are beliefs in the probability of experiencing particular emotions and not emotions themselves). By contrast, the correlations between condom use and the perceived attractiveness of condoms (r = 0.14) and the belief that condom use protects people from HIV infection (r = 0.10) are small, and the correlation between condom use and the belief that purchasing condoms is embarrassing is negligible (r = -0.05)⁴⁴.

Specific beliefs have also been investigated in the context of conspiracy theories. Intuitively, endorsing COVID-19 conspiracy theories might seem quite consequential for the likelihood of engaging in activities such as wearing a mask or social distancing. However, the effects are not unlike those of knowledge and other beliefs (Supplementary Table 1). In fact, a meta-analysis of crossed-lagged correlations from 17 samples estimated the impact of conspiracy beliefs on risky COVID-19-related behaviour to be $\beta = 0.09$ (ref. 79) with a reciprocal effect from behaviour to beliefs of similar magnitude. Thus, even these dramatic beliefs exert negligible effects on behaviour.

Other commonly studied beliefs are cultural. These beliefs entail judgements related to religiosity, spirituality, fashion, food consumption, interpersonal relationships and the relative standing of different social groups, including interactions among group members and with other groups⁸⁰. Cultural beliefs can act as barriers to action when the recommended behaviour is incongruent with cultural beliefs. For instance, cultural beliefs can constitute roadblocks to participation in community-based health insurance when a culture views preparation for illness as a magnet for illness itself⁸¹. Similarly, cultural beliefs about food consumption, which designate which foods are healthy or unhealthy, can act as a barrier to the management of diabetes when they conflict with recommendations provided by health-care professionals⁸².

Quantitative reviews have estimated the relation between different kinds of cultural beliefs and behaviour. For instance, hostile sexism (a collection of negative beliefs about the role of women in society and their relation to men) has a medium correlation with male-to-female violence (z = 0.26), whereas the relation between benevolent sexism (a collection of beliefs that women have positive qualities but need to be protected) and male-to-female violence is negligible (z = 0.05)⁸³. As for religious beliefs, greater religiosity correlates with lower engagement in criminal behaviour (r = -0.12)⁸⁴ and a combination of religiosity and spirituality correlates with less physical aggression (r = -0.12) and less sexual aggression and domestic violence (r = -0.05), albeit weakly⁸⁵. More generally, greater religious involvement is associated with less engagement in destructive behaviour (z = -0.17) and more engagement in constructive behaviour (z = 0.20)⁸⁶. However, some Christian groups are philosophically opposed to what they consider unnecessary medical intervention, resulting in disparities in vaccination coverage across religions⁸⁷.

Cultural beliefs have important implications for many behaviours^{88–91}. For example, in the USA, Hispanic people have the lowest rates of smoking among all racial and ethnic groups⁹², probably owing to less acculturation (the degree to which people from minority groups retain their native cultural language and values relative to those of the new, dominant culture⁹³) than other groups⁹⁴. Furthermore, the prevalence of risky behaviours, including smoking, obesity and unhealthy eating and drinking habits, is higher among second-generation Americans born in the USA than first-generation immigrants to the USA (r = 0.01-0.28). It seems that individuals living in the USA but born in other countries (for example, Mexico and China) have closer ties to their traditional cultures, which promote healthier lifestyle choices⁹⁵. This 'immigrant paradox' characterizes the situation of immigrants who practised healthy dietary behaviours in their home countries but abandon them as they acculturate to their new country of residence⁹⁰.

When existing interventions fail to meet the needs of racial and ethnic minority groups, culturally tailored programmes can be developed by modifying the content, language, mode of delivery or other intervention components in existing interventions or new programmes that consider cultural context can be developed based on the group's concerns⁹⁶. However, the impact of culturally tailored interventions on health behaviours is seemingly negligible (g = 0.1-0.20)⁹⁷. For example, interventions designed to address hypermasculinity (machismo) beliefs among Hispanic adolescents are successful at reducing the likelihood of engaging in HIV risk behaviour by 32% relative to participants in the control groups⁹⁸, whereas a cultural adaptation of a substance use intervention for Latinx adolescents had a negligible effect (g = 0.06)⁹⁹. In fact, five out of seven of the effects of such cultural adaptations were negligible (Supplementary Table 2).

As with knowledge and general attitudes, the effect sizes for beliefs derived from the correlational evidence (Fig. 1a) are larger than the largest effects obtained from intervention studies (Fig. 1b). For example, confidence in one's ability to grow in a particular domain (growth mindset) is associated with improved performance in academic settings¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰². Accordingly, interventions have been developed to

Table 2 | Interpretation of effect sizes

	d or g	r or z	Odds ratio or risk ratio
Negligible	<0.2	<0.1	<1.44
Small	0.2-0.49	0.1–0.23	1.44–2.47
Medium	0.5–0.79	0.24-0.36	2.48-4.26
Large	≥0.8	≥0.37	≥4.27

d or g, standardized mean difference; r, Pearson correlation coefficient; z, standardized r coefficient.



Fig. 1 | **Effect size range in meta-analyses of behaviour change. a,b**, Range (minimum, red; maximum, yellow) and mean (line) of effect sizes (odds ratios) for meta-analyses of individual (Supplementary Table 1) and social-structural (Supplementary Table 3) determinants of change (panel **a**) and for meta-analyses of intervention studies that targeted individual (Supplementary Table 2) and

1.11 Knowledge 1.23 General skills 1.35 General attitudes Individual factors 143 Beliefs 1.60 Emotions 199 Behavioural skills 2.09 Behavioural attitudes 265 Habits 3 Odds ratio 0.80 Legal and administrative sanctions Φ 1.27 Trustworthiness 153 Social-structural factors Injunctive norms 1.90 Monitors and reminders 2.20 Descriptive norms 2.47 Material incentives 2.53 Social support 180 Access 3 6 9 12 15 Odds ratio

b Meta-analyses of interventions

social-structural (Supplementary Table 4) determinants (panel **b**). Only metaanalyses that excluded extreme publication bias are included (Supplementary Note 1). Mean odds ratio values are presented above the mean line. Odds ratios <1.44 are negligible, those \geq 1.44 but <2.48 are small, those \geq 2.48 but <4.27 are medium and those \geq 4.27 are considered large.

change mindsets in the hope of also improving academic performance. However, a meta-analysis of these interventions found a negligible effect on behaviour both in experiments that successfully altered mindset (d = 0.04) and when considering all experiments (d = 0.05)⁸.

Emotions

Experiencing fear of climate change or disgust about a particular group of individuals present examples of emotion. Emotional appeals are commonly used to sensitize audiences to the risks of an object or event and include discussion of the threat posed by a problem or the audience's susceptibility to it¹⁰³. Emotions feature prominently in behavioural change models (for example, the health belief model¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶). However, the correlations between negative emotions and/or risk and behaviour tend to be small or negligible. For example, there is a small correlation (r = 0.12) between anxiety about COVID-19 and COVID-19 protective behaviours (although the correlation with fear is medium in size, r = 0.24)¹⁰⁷. Similarly, the association between perceived climate change risk and past adaptation behaviour is only r = 0.10 (ref. 60), and the association between perceived HIV risk and condom use is only r = 0.06 (ref. 44). The results tend to be similar for other forms of perceived threat (Supplementary Table 1). For example, in the domain of condom use, the associations between worry or concern and perceived HIV severity are r = 0.09 and r = 0.02, respectively⁴⁴.

Social emotions (emotions that serve primarily social functions and involve reactions to how the self is perceived by others, such as pride, gratitude, guilt, anger and envy^{108,109}) have garnered attention from behavioural scientists studying interpersonal behaviours. For example, people's tendency to experience anger while driving has a small association with speeding behaviour (r = 0.12)¹¹⁰ and is more strongly associated with a composite of high-risk driving behaviours (r = 0.39)¹¹¹. As other examples, envy has a weak negative relation with positive workplace behaviours such as help-seeking (r = -0.21 to 0.05; median r = -0.03) and a stronger relation with negative workplace behaviours such as incivility (r = 0.27-0.33; median r = 0.29)¹¹². Likewise, guilt is associated with greater engagement in pro-environmental behaviours (r = 0.30)¹¹³; gratitude is associated with prosocial behaviour (r = 0.26)¹¹⁴; the affective experience of interpersonal attraction is correlated with a behavioural composite of amount of talking, head

nodding and sitting distance (r = 0.20)¹¹⁵; and emotional prejudice is more strongly associated with discriminatory behaviour ($r_{median} = 0.35$) than stereotypes and other beliefs¹¹⁶. Finally, even though social emotions are not consistently associated with purchasing behaviour ($r_{gratitude} = 0.50$; $r_{pride} = 0.07$; $r_{guilt} = -0.01$; $r_{anger} = -0.19$), they have medium to large correlations with sharing behaviour ($r_{gratitude} = 0.74$; $r_{pride} = 0.32$; $r_{guilt} = 0.54$; $r_{anger} = -0.38$)¹¹⁷. However, these strong associations with sharing behaviour might partly be a function of the lower cost of this behaviour (operationalized as complaining and word of mouth in the source meta-analysis) compared with purchasing behaviour.

Generally, inducing emotions influences behaviour (g = 0.31)¹¹⁸. Although negative emotions have been found to have no overall effect on food consumption (g = 0.02), positive emotions increase food intake (g = 0.24)¹¹⁹. Likewise, communicating to induce fear tends to have small effects (Supplementary Table 2). For example, communicating the level of genetic cardiometabolic risk to patients has no effect on dietary changes or weight loss¹²⁰. Moreover, despite occasional claims of backfire effects¹²¹, a comprehensive meta-analysis of fear-appeal experiments found that the effects of risk information and fear were positive but negligible in size (d = 0.20 (ref. 122) and d = 0.14 (ref. 103), respectively). Furthermore, inductions of both anticipatory emotions (for example, fear and worry; d = 0.21) and anticipated emotions (for example, regret, guilt and shame; d = 0.30) produce positive but small changes in the enactment of behaviour¹²³. All in all, the effects of emotions are small.

Many interventions have targeted social emotions to bring about behavioural change¹²⁴. In particular, gratitude interventions are popular in the positive psychology literature¹²⁵. However, the overall effects of gratitude interventions are small. For example, meta-analyses have found negligible effects of gratitude interventions on exercise (d = 0.10) and prosocial behaviour (d = 0 and d = 0.12)¹¹⁴, and a stronger but still small effect on behaviours that express gratitude (for example, writing a thank-you note; d = 0.40)¹²⁵.

As with the other individual determinants reviewed thus far, the effect sizes for emotions are stronger in correlational than intervention studies. Although the available evidence suggests medium correlations between emotions and behaviour (Fig. 1a), concluding that they might be a desirable avenue for intervention could lead to underwhelming results as the efficacy of emotion-based interventions is small (Fig. 1b).

Behavioural skills

Specific behavioural skills show a medium-sized correlation with actual behaviour (Fig. 1a). For example, mothers who have the skills to discuss birth control methods with their daughters are 5.69 times more likely to have their daughters vaccinated against human papilloma virus (HPV) than mothers who lack such communication skills¹²⁶. In addition, specific behavioural skills are often reflected in people's sense of the controllability of a particular behaviour (perceived behavioural control)^{22,127}. For example, according to meta-analyses, perceived behavioural control has a strong association with actual recycling (r = 0.39)⁵⁹, and confidence that one can refuse alcohol (refusal self-efficacy) has medium associations with the frequency of drinking (r = -0.32)¹²⁸.

Behavioural skills interventions involve receiving arguments about the execution of a set of skills, as well as observing a role model execute a behaviour, practising and receiving feedback on the behaviour, and performing homework related to that behaviour^{27,129}. For example, verbal arguments might be used to encourage individuals to secure resources for and overcome obstacles to wearing a condom during sex¹³⁰ and more practical behavioural skill training interventions involve role-playing the application of condoms¹⁶. Teenagers might practise refusing invitations to smoke cigarettes or drink alcohol¹³¹⁻¹³³, and adults might be taught to avoid drinking before or during sex or to monitor their emotional states to avoid risky sexual situations^{27,134}.

Meta-analyses of these types of interventions have shown that training behavioural skills provides benefits for behavioural change. For example, communication skills training effectively increases both safer-sex discussions with partners (d = 0.35) and condom use (d = 0.39)¹³⁵. Organizational training across various such as interpersonal communication also produces sizable improvements in work behaviour (d = 0.62), particularly for programmed instruction (d = 0.94), which is given in small, specific steps that require a correct response before the learner moves to the next step¹³⁶. Although the overall effect size for intervention efficacy is small (Fig. 1b), behavioural skills are among the more promising targets to achieve behavioural change and have more sizable effects than general skills (d = 0.62 (ref. 136) versus d = 0.30 (ref. 137)).

Behavioural attitudes

Studies of attitudinal determinants involve analyses of associations with behavioural attitudes as well as indirect measures of behavioural attitudes (beliefs about behavioural outcomes weighted by evaluations of those outcomes^{20,138}). A general meta-analysis of newly formed attitudes estimated that the link between attitudes towards behaviours and actual behaviour is large $(r = 0.58)^{139}$. These findings are supported by meta-analyses in other domains. For instance, there is a medium correlation between attitudes towards sun-protection behaviour and actual sun-protection behaviour $(r = 0.31)^{140}$, and large correlations between attitudes towards car use and actual car use $(r = 0.41)^{141}$, attitudes towards consuming organic vegetables and organic vegetable consumption $(r = 0.44)^{142}$, and attitudes towards condom use and actual condom use $(r = 0.38)^{138}$. Similarly, indirect attitude measures show a medium correlation with condom use $(r = 0.31)^{138}$. Thus, behavioural attitudes are generally better predictors of behaviour than general attitudes, knowledge and specific beliefs (Fig. 1a).

Interventions targeting behavioural attitudes include media messages or in-person discussions of the benefits of changing a behaviour^{130,143}, as well as motivational interviewing designed to reduce attitudinal ambivalence towards a particular behaviour^{144,145}. However, interventions to change attitudes towards behaviours are generally comprehensive and include other strategies such as targeting norms and perceived behavioural control^{20,127}. Consequently, many intervention studies provide little information on the specific impact of targeting behavioural attitudes. Laboratory experiments designed to impact behavioural attitudes as a way of influencing behaviour have found large effects on behaviours (d = 1.10 and d = 0.79)¹⁴⁶, but the effects of actual interventions are typically small (Supplementary Table 2). Overall, the effects of behavioural attitude interventions are small (Fig. 1b).

As with the other individual determinants, the differences in effect sizes between correlational and intervention studies are considerable. Importantly, the correlational studies that find the strongest associations measured behaviour in the laboratory¹³⁹ and involve behaviours that exist only in those contexts (for example, voting in support of a fictitious policy as part of an experiment^{139,147}). Consequently, these experiments are poor representatives of the complex decisions people make when attitudes coexist with other factors.

Habits

Past behaviour is an important precursor of future behaviour. For example, past condom use has a medium correlation with current condom use $(r = 0.36)^{44}$, and past recycling behaviour has large correlations with future recycling $(r = 0.41)^{59}$ and seeing oneself as a person who recycles $(r = 0.48)^{59}$.

Habits have been equated with past behaviour in many analyses¹⁴⁸. However, contemporary theories define habits as repeated behaviours that exhibit automaticity, occur without awareness and are difficult to stop even when they no longer provide benefits to the individual^{149–154}. A meta-analysis of associations between health-provider habits (for example, handwashing) measured with habit scales that tap into automaticity showed a medium association with the execution of those behaviours (r = 0.33)¹⁵⁵, and another meta-analysis found a large association between car habits and car use (r = 0.50)¹⁵⁶. In sum, habits have large associations with behaviours (Fig. 1a).

Habit-promoting interventions involve^{157,158} training to stop behaviour in the face of temptations^{157,158}, introducing environmental regularity to promote habit formation¹⁵⁰ and distracting people from behavioural cues¹⁵⁹. For example, laboratory cognitive training to inhibit approach to food cues, promote distraction, reappraise food cravings and use other cognitive control techniques has a small effect on food intake (g = 0.27), with reappraisal (g = 0.45), attentional bias modification (g = 0.44) and distraction (g = -0.31) having the strongest effects¹⁵⁹. Similarly, a meta-analysis found that stop signal training (d = -0.39) and attentional bias modification (d = -0.51) showed small and medium effects on eating behaviour, respectively¹⁶⁰.

Habit reversal training has also been used to reduce tics¹⁵⁸. In this treatment, patients are trained to identify occurrences of the tic and the events that trigger it and implement a competing, incompatible response. For example, if stress or hunger increases tics, activation of antagonist muscles when a tic is expected can eliminate the tic¹⁵⁸ (d = 0.94)¹⁵⁷. This treatment changes motor associations with external stimuli and therefore reduces behaviours that are executed despite undesirable consequences.

Interventions to curb habits are impressive because they are fighting against chronic, automated tendencies that are difficult to eliminate. As with many of the individual factors we considered, the effects obtained from correlational studies are markedly stronger than the corresponding effects from intervention studies. Nevertheless, interventions to train habits are clearly promising and, among all individual targets, demonstrate the strongest impact on behavioural change (Fig. 1b). However, they face the challenge of needing to elicit behaviour before that behaviour can become automated.

Social-structural determinants and interventions

Social-structural determinants of behaviour include legal and administrative sanctions, trustworthiness, injunctive norms, monitors and reminders, descriptive norms, material incentives, social support and access (Table 3). Although these determinants reflect social and environmental conditions, the measures of determinants often rely on self-report. For example, descriptive norms tap into how much others perform a behaviour, but measures in correlational studies reflect a respondent's perception of what others do. In this section, we synthesize results from meta-analyses of correlational studies that measure the determinant along with the behaviour in question (Supplementary Table 3) and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials, quasiexperimental studies and laboratory research of behavioural change interventions based on these determinants (Supplementary Table 4). Determinants are discussed in order from least to most effective when targeted by interventions. As noted above, readers should keep in mind their meaning (Table 2) and interpretational limitations when comparing effect sizes across studies.

Legal and administrative sanctions

We identified no meta-analyses of correlations between behaviour and legal and administrative sanctions. In terms of interventions, policies that attempt to ban negative behaviour and link it to sanctions (for example, restricting one's ability to work or travel if one chooses not to get vaccinated)¹⁶¹ have been criticized for their potential for psychological reactance (a negative emotional response caused by threats to or actual losses of freedom)^{162,163}. Specifically, people generally believe that they possess a certain level of freedom and wish to have control over their actions. When they encounter events restricting their perceived freedom, they might become motivated to restore it by acting against the threatening events. Accordingly, although deterrence theory has remained a cornerstone of criminal justice policy, deterrence-based initiatives have only small to medium effects on behaviour $(r = 0.22 - 0.33)^{164}$ and mandates can sometimes work, as shown by the success of COVID-19 vaccination mandates in many places¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁷. Collectively, however, legal and administrative sanctions have a negligible effect on behaviour (Fig. 1b).

Trustworthiness

Interpersonal trust is a combination of attitudes, affective reactions and beliefs about others (for example, health-care providers or politicians) that reduces interpersonal vigilance and increases vulnerability⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸. For instance, the trustworthiness of an individual delivering a message has been found to influence its persuasiveness¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁴. Trust has been frequently studied in the context of cooperation games, where trust in one's game partner strongly predicts altruistic behaviour (r = 0.58)¹⁷⁵. Trust has also been examined in organizational research, where intrateam trust is associated with better team performance (r = 0.30)¹⁷⁶, and trust in leaders is associated with better task performance (r = 0.26) and better organizational citizenship behaviour (r = 0.30)¹⁷⁷.

Behavioural scientists are also interested in institutional forms of trust, such as trust in scientists and government institutions. One metaanalysis found that climate-friendly behaviours correlated with trust in governmental institutions (r = 0.17), trust in environmental groups (r = 0.38), trust in industry (r = 0.14) and trust in scientists (r = 0.33)¹⁷⁸. However, these associations tend to be stronger for public behaviours (for example, support of public environmental policies) than for private behaviours (for example, obtaining health insurance)¹⁷⁸.

Notably, specific factors can change the strength and direction of these associations. For example, there were small correlations between trust in government institutions and compliance with COVID-19 behavioural guidelines (r = 0.11) and COVID-19 vaccination (r = 0.10)¹⁷⁹. However, trust in former President Donald Trump correlated negatively with all COVID-19 prevention behaviours¹⁷⁹. Overall, there is a medium-sized association between all forms of trustworthiness and behaviour (Fig. 1a).

Interventions to increase institutional trustworthiness focus on increasing the perceived fairness and goodwill of authorities or organizations, in addition to programmes to increase distributed and procedural justice. Interventions aimed at improving the perceived trustworthiness of health-care authorities lead to negligible increases in behavioural outcomes (g = 0.13)¹⁸⁰. Interventions to increase distributed justice at work have produced negligible effects on work performance (OR = 1.20)¹⁸¹, whereas interventions to increase procedural

Table 3 | Social-structural determinants of behaviour and associated measures and interventions

Determinant	Definition	Sample measures	Sample interventions
Legal and administrative sanctions	Legal and administrative instruments to prescribe, ban or sanction a behaviour	State and county records of laws coded through a policy review ²²⁸	Banning smoking in public establishments ²²⁹ Mandating vaccination ²³⁰ Mandating sick pay ²³¹ Taxing pollution ²³²
Trustworthiness	Justice or fairness within an organization or government entity, which leads constituents to follow recommendations ⁴⁹	Self-report measure of procedural justice: "How fair were the procedures used to handle the problem?" ²³³	Providing channels for Latinx voters to voice their concerns Community-oriented policing that fosters non-enforcement interactions ²³⁴
Injunctive norms	Perceptions of the degree to which others support a person's behaviour ^{20,37}	Self-report measure of injunctive norms: "People who are important to me think I should use condoms" ²¹	Messages that communicate that others approve of condom use ²³⁵ Posting signs stating that taking the stairs is a good way to get some exercise ²³⁶
Monitors and reminders	Physical or digital instrument to track behavioural performance and remind users of the need to execute a behaviour	Self-reported use of pill boxes, diaries and planners ²³⁷	Clinical reminder system for promoting preventive care ²³⁸ Digital watches and phone apps that promote physical activity
Descriptive norms	Frequency of a behaviour in a particular population ³⁸⁻⁴¹	Self-reported perceptions of what others do: "Most residents would vaccinate their child against COVID-19" ²²⁸	Comparative feedback such as a chart tracking one's energy consumption in relation to one's neighbours ²³⁹ Using role models to promote a target behaviour ^{30,31} Posting signs stating that most people used the stairs ²³⁶
Material incentives	Providing financial or non-financial rewards in exchange for a behaviour	Introduction of state lottery for vaccinated residents as a reward for vaccinations ²⁴⁰	Paying people US \$24 to receive the COVID-19 vaccine ²⁴¹
Social support	Informational, instrumental or financial help to facilitate a particular behaviour ²⁰¹	Self-reported lists of individuals who can perform instrumental, informational and emotional support functions ²⁴²	Leveraging family or ad hoc groups to assist individuals to meet their physical activity goals Groups of Latina mothers led by 'promotoras' who support and accompany each other during health-promoting activities ²⁴³
Access	Material or logistic resources to facilitate the performance of a behaviour	Census demographics and self-report of health insurance ²⁴⁴ Self-reported health insurance ²⁴⁵	Reducing co-payments for medication ²⁴⁶ Providing health insurance ²⁴⁷ Providing basic income ²⁴⁸

justice have small positive effects on work behaviour (OR = 1.49)¹⁸². Overall, however, interventions that aim to increase institutional trustworthiness have a negligible effect (Fig. 1b).

Injunctive norms

Several health behaviour theories (for example, the theories of reasoned action and planned behaviour^{20,23}, as well as the theory of normative focus^{37,183}) converge on the hypothesis that social norms influence behaviour. Injunctive norms (perceptions of the degree to which others support one's behaviour^{20,37}) have small associations with behaviours such as blood donation (r = 0.17)⁴², recycling (r = 0.21)⁵⁹ and adolescent sexual behaviour (r = 0.22)¹³. Overall, however, the correlation between injunctive norms and behaviour is medium in size (Fig. 1a).

Over the past five decades, social normative interventions (for example, messages that communicate that others approve of specific behaviours) have been used to change environmental behaviours¹²⁴, child-rearing practices¹², health¹⁸⁴ and other risky behaviours by making people feel that others approve of the course of action recommended in the intervention. A synthesis of these interventions across numerous domains revealed a small effect on behaviour (d = 0.34)¹⁸⁵. The impact of injunctive norm interventions has also been synthesized in the domain of environmental behaviour, revealing a negligible effect (d = 0.10)¹⁸⁶. Notably, these interventions can have effects because people are unaware of the true injunctive norms¹⁸⁷. For example, if most students drink heavily because they assume their peers approve of drinking, reporting

disapproving injunctive norms can curb drinking¹⁸⁸. Overall, however, interventions that target injunctive norms have small effects (Fig. 1b).

Monitors and reminders

We identified no meta-analyses of correlations between behaviour and monitors and reminders. In terms of interventions, monitors and reminder interventions can, potentially, delegate monitoring and reminder functions to the environment and consequently decrease self-control failures¹⁸⁹. Manual reminders (for example, tracking sheets and paper planners) can promote various health screenings, including for breast, cervical and colorectal cancer (OR = 1.63, OR = 1.10 and OR = 1.85, respectively)¹⁹⁰. However, they fail to influence preventive care more generally (OR = 0.99)¹⁹⁰ and have negligible effects on vaccination (OR = 0.95) (S. Liu et al., unpublished). Often, the use of both manual and computer-generated reminders is most effective (colorectal cancer screening OR = 2.57; all preventative care OR = 2.23)¹⁹⁰. Overall monitors and reminders have a small effect (Fig. 1b). Thus, they might be a useful intervention strategy, particularly in combination with interventions for other targets.

Descriptive norms

Descriptive norms contribute to the social processes that shape a wide range of behaviours. Although descriptive norms do not correlate with blood donation behaviour (r = 0.03)⁴², they do correlate with recycling (r = 0.33)⁵⁹, adolescent sexual behaviour (r = 0.40)¹³, consumer

behaviour (r = 0.31)⁹ and smoking initiation (OR = 1.88-2.53)⁴³. Overall, there is a medium-sized association between descriptive norms and behaviour (Fig. 1a).

Most normative interventions try to persuade recipients that others already behave in the recommended ways. In fact, simply communicating descriptive social norms changes behaviour in various settings, especially when the desired behaviour is highly prevalent¹⁹¹. For example, college students tend to overestimate the amount of alcohol consumed by their peers¹⁸⁷ and normative interventions that revise this misperception reduce drinking¹⁸². Indeed, meta-analyses of approaches to modify descriptive norms have shown small effects for alcohol use (d = 0.29)¹⁹² and condom use (d = 0.36)¹¹.

Other normative interventions include providing comparative feedback such as a chart tracking one's energy consumption in relation to one's neighbours¹⁸⁶. Although people often dislike comparative feedback^{193,194}, exercise apps that provide comparative feedback are highly effective (d = 0.96)¹⁹⁵.

Having role models to look up to and learn from³⁰ is a particularly influential normative intervention (d = 0.51)¹⁸⁶. This finding is consistent with evidence that interventions delivered by facilitators who resemble recipients demographically are more successful at increasing condom use than interventions delivered by demographically dissimilar facilitators¹⁷³. Overall, interventions that aim to change descriptive norms have small effects on behaviour (Fig. 1b).

Material incentives

Correlational evidence about the effects of material incentives suggests that offering incentives for biochemically validated samples produces medium increases in smoking cessation (risk ratio = 2.58)¹⁹⁶. Other effects, however, are negligible. For example, receiving state subsidies is minimally correlated with environmentally friendly application of pesticides (g = 0.12)¹⁹⁷.

Many policies designed to promote human behaviour adopt behaviourist principles¹⁹⁸ by pairing positive behaviour with incentives (for example, providing financial incentives for choosing to get vaccinated). However, the overall efficacy of incentives is small (Fig. 1b). For example, financial incentives were offered by many countries to encourage COVID-19 vaccination but, according to a meta-analysis, the effects were negligible (OR = 1.44) (S. Liu et al., unpublished). Financial incentives have also been used to decrease energy consumption, where the effects are small (d = 0.36)¹⁹⁹, and to curb substance use, where the effects are medium (d = 0.70)²⁰⁰.

Social support

Social support (the provision of informational, instrumental or financial help to facilitate a particular behaviour²⁰¹) has been examined in relation to stress and health, as well as particularly difficult behaviours that benefit from external advice and assistance, such as weight loss, medication adherence and resource conservation. Social support differs from norms in that, as studied in relation to behaviour, the support concerns a particular behavioural goal. Whereas social norms might concern others' approval for maintaining a healthy diet, social support implies that others are willing to provide advice or other forms of help around a particular dietary goal.

There are variable associations between social support and behaviour. For example, adherence to medical treatments is 1.74 times higher among patients with cohesive families and 1.53 times lower among patients with high-conflict families¹⁵². Moreover, exercise is facilitated by support from family and important others (d = 0.36 and d = 0.44) as

Nature Reviews Psychology

well as exercise-class leaders and classmates $(d = 0.31 \text{ and } d = 0.32)^{153}$. In addition, there are large associations between emotional, material and informational support and the quality of childcare behaviours executed by mothers (r = 0.31, r = 0.27 and r = 0.31, respectively)¹⁵⁴.

The effect of social support interventions is medium (Fig. 1b). These interventions often take the form of support groups that facilitate a behaviour such as the dietary or physical activity modifications required to lose weight. Such social support interventions are associated with small positive effects on adherence to antiretroviral medication (OR = 1.66)²⁰² and a reduction in suicide (OR = 0.48)²⁰³. Social support interventions based on public commitments to a behaviour²⁰⁴ (which can increase a person's motivation to execute a behaviour but also social support for it) are associated with small (d = 0.26)¹⁵ to medium (g = 0.58)¹⁸⁶ increases in conservation behaviour.

Access

According to social cognitive theory²⁴, environmental attributes can constrain behaviour and thereby act as critical determinants of behaviour. For example, increases in the price of pesticides decrease environmentally friendly pesticide application $(d = -0.36)^{197}$. Likewise, demographic variables related to a person's position within the social hierarchy have a range of associations with behaviour. For example, healthy behaviours during pregnancy correlate with income $(r = 0.26)^{205}$ and having a recycling bin and owning a home both correlate with recycling (r = 0.16 and r = 0.24, respectively)⁵⁹. Overall, the association between access and behaviour is small (Fig. 1a).

Some access interventions are designed to impact the system at large. Interventions to decrease inequality are attractive, given large disparities in behaviours that benefit individuals and society at large. Accordingly, researchers have tested structural and community interventions, such as microfinancing, which involves small loans to develop a business as a source of income. However, randomized controlled trials testing the impact of microloans showed a negligible effect on women's control over household expenses (d = -0.01)²⁰⁶. In the area of health, broader structural and community interventions have small effects as well (risk ratio = 1.20 and risk ratio = 0.90 for condom use and number of partners, respectively)²⁰⁷.

Other policy instruments increase access by changing the environment to offer more specific opportunities for behavioural change. For example, interventions that ensure access to vaccines by providing transportation or sites close to potential users double vaccination coverage (S. Liu et al., unpublished). Other policies design situations that channel behaviour, such as making the desired behaviour the default on organ-donation forms (d = 0.68)^{208,209}. Yet others decrease access by taxing alcohol to reduce use (OR = 5.92)²¹⁰. Overall interventions that aim to increase access have large effects on behaviour (Fig. 1b).

Summary and future directions

Our Review suggests that across domains, knowledge, general skills, general attitudes, beliefs, legal and administrative sanctions, and trustworthiness have negligible effects as targets of intervention; emotions, behavioural skills, behavioural attitudes, injunctive norms, monitors and reminders, descriptive norms and material incentives have small effects; habits and social support have medium effects; and access has large effects (Fig. 2a). Of course, some behaviours, populations and contexts might be unique. Thus, no review or meta-analysis can predict the result of an intervention across all contexts. Nevertheless, our Review suggests that certain variables, although highly salient, might not change behaviour and should not be the primary focus of a

behavioural intervention. Moreover, the discrepancies in effect sizes between correlational studies and intervention studies suggest that correlational studies are often ill-suited as a basis for deciding what determinants to address in interventions. A key aim of our Review was to offer a synthesis across all behaviours. To determine the extent to which these conclusions are generalizable, we examined determinants for health behaviour (Fig. 2b) and environmental behaviour (Fig. 2c) specifically. These domains



Fig. 2 | **Models of behavioural change intervention efficacy. a**-**c**, Conclusions of our synthesis of meta-analyses of behaviour change interventions for all behaviours (panel **a**), health behaviours (panel **b**) and environmental behaviours (panel **c**). In all panels, individual targets of change are presented on the left and social-structural targets of change are presented on the right. Vertically,

targets of change are organized from least to most effective based on the average effect sizes for each behavioural target (Fig. 1b; Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2), and grouped based on whether effects are negligible, small, medium or large (Table 1). Only meta-analyses that excluded extreme publication bias are included (Supplementary Note 1).

were chosen because they have been assessed in most meta-analyses (Supplementary Note 2). The distribution of individual determinants for health behaviour is the same as that for all behaviours. The distribution is similar for environmental behaviour, except that the data are less complete. The efficacy data for social-structural factors related to health and environmental behaviours are sparser but still revealing. In both cases, interventions that target descriptive norms, material incentives, social support and access are promising, whereas interventions that emphasize institutional trustworthiness in the health domain and legal and administrative sanctions or injunctive norms in the environmental domain might be insufficient to move populations to change.

Thus, the next pandemic and current climate change crisis will require not knowledge but, rather, active approaches that enable individuals to circumvent obstacles and gain support, and that ensure access to resources in ways that promote positive behaviour in all groups. For example, the US campaign for COVID-19 vaccination targeted vaccine confidence (general attitudes). However, our Review suggests that it would have been more appropriate to increase access to vaccination, in addition to training behavioural skills, strengthening norms, leveraging social support and using material incentives. Our Review also suggests that behavioural skills training should be effective to induce behaviours to curb climate change. However, in that case, actual beliefs also have a small effect, suggesting that the dominant intervention emphasis of increasing perceptions of climate change and its outcomes, albeit insufficient, is not misguided.

The next step for intervention researchers is to link these conclusions to specific intervention contents and policies. For example, randomized controlled trials should test different methods to change descriptive norms or specific implementations of interventions designed to increase access to a behaviour. Importantly, researchers and policymakers need to stop repeating programmes that are typically unsuccessful. For example, although some boilerplate information about a behaviour should routinely be introduced to an audience not familiar with a behaviour or the goal of a behaviour, launching large efforts to test the efficacy of interventions to increase institutional trust or corrections for misinformation seems futile if the motivation is behavioural change. Finally, more trials that test different intervention targets are needed so that future research reviews can draw on more data that better control for populations and contexts. Such controls are not possible when different experiments test different targets of change.

Researchers should also study naive theories about behavioural change among policymakers and their constituents. If policymakers believe that knowledge is fundamental to behavioural change, they will continue to implement well-intended but unsuccessful interventions. Likewise, if policymakers consider all targets of change as equally attractive possibilities without considering their relative efficacy, their choices are also likely to be misguided. Understanding these naive conceptualizations and how they translate into behavioural change initiatives is critical to ensuring that evidence-based findings similar to those provided here shape the practise of behavioural change.

Any literature review has limitations. First, our review did not specifically consider that different channels might be used to impart knowledge or modify beliefs or injunctive norms. For instance, individualized knowledge might be imparted to a person who visits with a dietician, delivered to schools or broadcast on mass media. In these situations, even when the beliefs exist within the minds of individuals, interventions might operate at the individual, school or community level. Similarly, policies to increase access to services might be implemented at the level of an organization, county, state, nation or group of nations that enter international agreements. Which level or combination of levels produces the most effective interventions is an important question for future research.

Second, the choice to synthesize meta-analyses might have biased our conclusions because some areas have been meta-analysed more than others. However, meta-analysis remains the only method that allows for comparisons across research that uses different metrics^{211,212}. A first-order meta-analysis of this large intervention literature might be an aspirational goal for the field that might be feasible with newer forms of automation. Until then, our review of meta-analyses is informative and actionable. Behavioural change is likely to remain one of the most important solutions to humanity's challenges, and we must be armed with more and better guidelines to promote it.

Published online: 03 May 2024

References

- Last, B. S., Buttenheim, A. M., Timon, C. E., Mitra, N. & Beidas, R. S. Systematic review of clinician-directed nudges in healthcare contexts. *BMJ Open.* 11, e048801 (2021).
- Thaler, R. & Sunstein, C. Nudge: The Gentle Power of Choice Architecture (Yale Univ. Press, 2008).
- Michie, S., van Stralen, M. M. & West, R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implementation Sci.* 6, 42 (2011).
- Crane, D., Garnett, C., Brown, J., West, R. & Michie, S. Behavior change techniques in popular alcohol reduction apps: content analysis. J. Med. Internet Res. 17, e118 (2015).
- Michie, S. et al. The Human Behaviour-Change Project: harnessing the power of artificial intelligence and machine learning for evidence synthesis and interpretation. *Implement.* Sci. 12, 121 (2017).
- Gollwitzer, P. M. & Sheeran, P. in Advances in Experimental Social Psychology Vol. 38 (ed. Zanna, M. P.) 69–119 (Elsevier Academic, 2006).
- Malaguti, A. et al. Effectiveness of the use of implementation intentions on reduction of substance use: a meta-analysis. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 214, 108120 (2020).
- Macnamara, B. & Burgoyne, A. P. Do growth mindset interventions impact students' academic achievement? A systematic review and meta-analysis with recommendations for best practices. *Psychol. Bull.* 149, 133–173 (2023).
- Melnyk, V., Carrillat, F. A. & Melnyk, V. The influence of social norms on consumer behavior: a meta-analysis. J. Mark. 86, 98–120 (2021).
- Niemiec, R. M., Champine, V., Vaske, J. J. & Mertens, A. Does the impact of norms vary by type of norm and type of conservation behavior? A meta-analysis. Soc. Nat. Resour. 33, 1024–1040 (2020).
- Sheeran, P. et al. The impact of changing attitudes, norms, and self-efficacy on health-related intentions and behavior: a meta-analysis. *Health Psychol.* 35, 1178–1188 (2016).
- Russell, P. S., Smith, D. M., Birtel, M. D., Hart, K. H. & Golding, S. E. The role of emotions and injunctive norms in breastfeeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 16, 257–279 (2022).
- van de Bongardt, D., Reitz, E., Sandfort, T. & Deković, M. A meta-analysis of the relations between three types of peer norms and adolescent sexual behavior. *Personality Soc. Psychol. Rev.* 19, 203–234 (2015).
- Wilson, K. et al. When it comes to lifestyle recommendations, more is sometimes less: a meta-analysis of theoretical assumptions underlying the effectiveness of interventions promoting multiple behavior domain change. *Psychol. Bull.* **141**, 709–725 (2015).
- Bergquist, M., Thiel, M., Goldberg, M. H. & van der Linden, S. Field interventions for climate change mitigation behaviors: a second-order meta-analysis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 120, e2214851120 (2023).
- Albarracín, D. et al. A test of major assumptions about behavior change: a comprehensive look at the effects of passive and active HIV-prevention interventions since the beginning of the epidemic. *Psychol. Bull.* **131**, 856–897 (2005).
- Albarracin, D. & Durantini, M. R. Are we going to close social gaps in HIV? Likely effects of behavioral HIV-prevention interventions on health disparities. *Psychol. Health Med* 15, 694–719 (2010).
- Johnson, B. T., Michie, S. & Snyder, L. B. Effects of behavioral intervention content on HIV prevention outcomes: a meta-review of meta-analyses. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 66, S259–S270 (2014).
- Deutsch, M. & Gerard, H. B. A study of normative and informational social influences upon individual judgment. J. Abnorm. Soc. Psychol. 51, 629–636 (1955).
- Fishbein, M. & Ajzen, I. Predicting and Changing Behavior: The Reasoned Action Approach (Psychology Press, 2011).
- Fisher, W. A., Fisher, J. D. & Rye, B. J. Understanding and promoting AIDS-preventive behavior: insights from the theory of reasoned action. *Health Psychol.* 14, 255–264 (1995).

- Madden, T. J., Ellen, P. S. & Ajzen, I. A comparison of the theory of planned behavior and the theory of reasoned action. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 18, 3–9 (1992).
- Ajzen, I. & Fishbein, M. Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior (Martin Fishbein Prentice-Hall, 1980).
- 24. Bandura, A. & National Institute of Mental Health. Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory Vol. 1 (Prentice-Hall, 1986).
- Bandura, A. & Wood, R. Effect of perceived controllability and performance standards on self-regulation of complex decision making. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 56, 805–814 (1989).
- Fisher, J. D., Fisher, W. A., Amico, K. R. & Harman, J. J. An information–motivation– behavioral skills model of adherence to antiretroviral therapy. *Health Psychol.* 25, 462–473 (2006).
- Fisher, J. D., Fisher, W. A., Bryan, A. D. & Misovich, S. J. Information–motivation–behavioral skills model-based HIV risk behavior change intervention for inner-city high school youth. *Health Psychol.* 21, 177–186 (2002).
- Rivet Amico, K. A situated-information motivation behavioral skills model of care initiation and maintenance (sIMB-CIM): an IMB model based approach to understanding and intervening in engagement in care for chronic medical conditions. J. Health Psychol. 16, 1071-1081 (2011).
- Ajzen, I., Albarracin, D. & Hornik, R. (eds) Prediction and Change of Health Behavior: Applying the Reasoned Action Approach (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2007).
- Bandura, A. Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control (Macmillan, 1997).
 Bandura, A. Social cognitive theory of self-regulation. Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process
- bandura, A. Social cognitive theory of seu-regulation. Organ. Benav. Hum. Decis. Proces.
 50, 248–287 (1991).
- Wyer, R. S. & Albarracín, D. in *The Handbook of Attitudes* (eds Albarracin, D., Johnson, B. T. & Zanna, M. P.) 273–322 (Lawrence Erlbaum, 2005).
- Albarracín, D., Zanna, M. P., Johnson, B. T. & Kumkale, G. T. in *The Handbook of Attitudes* (eds Albarracín, D. et al.) 3–19 (Lawrence Erlbaum, 2005).
- Neal, D. T., Wood, W. & Quinn, J. M. Habits a repeat performance. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 15, 198–202 (2006).
- 35. Fisher, J. D. & Fisher, W. A. Changing AIDS-risk behavior. Psychol. Bull. 111, 455-474 (1992).
- Cialdini, R. B. Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion (Morrow, 1993).
 Cialdini, R. B. & Trost, M. in The Handbook of Social Psychology 4th ed. (eds Gilbert, D. T.
- Cialdini, R. B. & Trost, M. in *The Handbook of Social Psychology* 4th ed. (eds Gilbert, D. T., Fiske, S. T. & Lindzey, G.) 151–192 (McGraw Hill, 1998).
 Jacobson, R. P. Mortensen, C. R. & Cialdini, R. B. Bodies obliged and unbound:
- Jacobson, R. P., Mortensen, C. R. & Cialdini, R. B. Bodies obliged and unbound: differentiated response tendencies for injunctive and descriptive social norms. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 100, 433–448 (2011).
- Reid, A. E., Cialdini, R. B. & Aiken, L. S. in Handbook of Behavioral Medicine: Methods and Applications (eds. Steptoe, A. et al.) 263–274 (Springer Science+Business Media, 2011).
- Cialdini, R. B., Kallgren, C. A. & Reno, R. R. A focus theory of normative conduct: a theoretical refinement and reevaluation of the role of norms in human behavior. *Adv. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 24, 201–234 (1991).
- Bicchieri, C. The Grammar of Society: The Nature and Dynamics of Social Norms (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006).
- Bednall, T. C., Bove, L. L., Cheetham, A. & Murray, A. L. A systematic review and metaanalysis of antecedents of blood donation behavior and intentions. Soc. Sci. Med. 96, 86–94 (2013).
- East, K., McNeill, A., Thrasher, J. F. & Hitchman, S. C. Social norms as a predictor of smoking uptake among youth: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression of prospective cohort studies. *Addiction* **116**, 2953–2967 (2021).
- Sheeran, P., Abraham, C. & Orbell, S. Psychosocial correlates of heterosexual condom use: a meta-analysis. Psychol. Bull. 125, 90–132 (1999).
- Lowi, T. J. Four systems of policy, politics, and choice. *Public. Adm. Rev.* 32, 298–310 (1972).
- Nadelson, L. & Jorcyk, C. I just don't trust them: the development and validation of an assessment instrument to measure trust in science and scientists. Sch. Sci. Math. 114, 76–86 (2014).
- Lee, T. T. Why they don't trust the media: an examination of factors predicting trust. Am. Behav. Scientist 54, 8–21 (2010).
- Mayo, R. Cognition is a matter of trust: distrust tunes cognitive processes. *Eur. Rev. Soc.* Psychol. 26, 283–327 (2015).
- Tyler, T. Procedural justice and policing: a rush to judgment? Ann. Rev. Law Soc. Sci. 13, 29–53 (2017).
- Galbiati, R. & Vertova, P. How laws affect behavior: obligations, incentives and cooperative behavior. Int. Rev. Law Econ. 38, 48–57 (2014).
- Ariely, D., Bracha, A. & Meier, S. Doing good or doing well? Image motivation and monetary incentives in behaving prosocially. Am. Economic Rev. 99, 544–555 (2009).
- Anderson, E. S., Winett, R. A. & Wojcik, J. R. Self-regulation, self-efficacy, outcome expectations, and social support: social cognitive theory and nutrition behavior. *Ann. Behav. Med.* 34, 304–312 (2007).
- Cohen, J. B. & Andrade, E. B. The ADF framework: a parsimonious model for developing successful behavior change interventions. J. Mark. Behav. 3, 81–119 (2018).
- Latkin, C., Weeks, M. R., Glasman, L., Galletly, C. & Albarracin, D. A dynamic social systems model for considering structural factors in HIV prevention and detection. *AIDS Behav* 14, 222–238 (2010).
- Michie, S., West, R., Sheals, K. & Godinho, C. A. Evaluating the effectiveness of behavior change techniques in health-related behavior: a scoping review of methods used. *Transl. Behav. Med.* 8, 212–224 (2018).
- 56. Huston, S. J. Measuring financial literacy. J. Consum. Aff. 44, 296-316 (2010).

- Hwang, H. & In Park, H. The relationships of financial literacy with both financial behavior and financial well-being: meta-analyses based on the selective literature review. J. Consum. Aff. https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12497 (2022).
- Firmino, R. T. et al. Association of oral health literacy with oral health behaviors, perception, knowledge, and dental treatment related outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Public. Health Dent. 78, 231–245 (2018).
- Geiger, J. L., Steg, L., van der Werff, E. & Unal, A. B. A meta-analysis of factors related to recycling. J. Env. Psychol. 64, 78–97 (2019).
- van Valkengoed, A. M. & Steg, L. Meta-analyses of factors motivating climate change adaptation behaviour. Nat. Clim. Change 9, 158 (2019).
- Tayfur, S. N., Prior, S., Roy, A. S., Fitzpatrick, L. I. & Forsyth, K. Adolescent psychosocial factors and participation in education and employment in young adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Educ Res Rev* 34, 100404 (2021).
- Shields, C. V., Hultstrand, K. V., West, C. E., Gunstad, J. J. & Sato, A. F. Disinhibited eating and executive functioning in children and adolescents: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 19, 13384 (2022).
- 63. Dunning, D. et al. Do mindfulness-based programmes improve the cognitive skills, behaviour and mental health of children and adolescents? An updated meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Evid. Based Ment. Health* **25**, 135–142 (2022).
- Ajzen, I. & Fishbein, M. Attitude-behavior relations: a theoretical analysis and review of empirical research. *Psychol Bull.* 84, 888–918 (1977).
- Helmus, L., Hanson, R. K., Babchishin, K. M. & Mann, R. E. Attitudes supportive of sexual offending predict recidivism: a meta-analysis. *Trauma. Violence Abuse* 14, 34–53 (2013).
- Kraus, S. J. Attitudes and the prediction of behavior: a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 21, 58–75 (1995).
- Wallace, D. S., Paulson, R. M., Lord, C. G. & Bond, C. F. Which behaviors do attitudes predict? Meta-analyzing the effects of social pressure and perceived difficulty. *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* 9, 214–227 (2005).
- Petty, R. E., Fazio, R. H. & Briñol, P. in Attitudes: Insights from the New Implicit Measures (eds Petty, R. E., Fazio, R. H. & Briñol, P.) 3–18 (Psychology Press, 2009).
- Fazio, R. H. & Olson, M. A. in Dual-Process Theories of the Social Mind (eds Sherman, J. W., Gawronski, B. & Trope, Y.) 155–171 (Psychology Press, 2014).
- Samayoa, J. A. G. & Fazio, R. H. Who starts the wave? Let's not forget the role of the individual. Psychol. Ing. 28, 273–277 (2017).
- Payne, B. K., Vuletich, H. A. & Lundberg, K. B. The bias of crowds: how implicit bias bridges personal and systemic prejudice. *Psychol. Ing.* 28, 233–248 (2017).
- Greenwald, A. G. & Farnham, S. D. Using the implicit association test to measure selfesteem and self-concept. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 79, 1022–1038 (2000).
- Rooke, S. E., Hine, D. W. & Thorsteinsson, E. B. Implicit cognition and substance use: a meta-analysis. Addictive Behav. 33, 1314–1328 (2008).
- Anker, A. E., Feeley, T. H., McCracken, B. & Lagoe, C. A. Measuring the effectiveness of mass-mediated health campaigns through meta-analysis. J. Health Commun. 21, 439–456 (2016).
- Forscher, P. S. et al. A meta-analysis of procedures to change implicit measures. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 117, 522–559 (2019).
- 76. Bem, D. J. Self-perception theory. Adv. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 6, 1–62 (1972).
- 77. Festinger, L. A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance (Stanford Univ. Press, 1957).
- Albarracin, D. & Wyer, R. S. Jr The cognitive impact of past behavior: influences on beliefs, attitudes, and future behavioral decisions. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 79, 5 (2000).
- Stasielowicz, L. A continuous time meta-analysis of the relationship between conspiracy beliefs and individual preventive behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic. Sci. Rep. 12, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-15769-4 (2022).
- Bond, M. H. et al. in Understanding Culture: Theory, Research, & Application (eds Wyer, R. S. et al.) 469–506 (Psychology Press, 2009).
- Dror, D. M. et al. What factors affect voluntary uptake of community-based health insurance schemes in low- and middle-income countries? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 11, e016479 (2016).
- Li-Geng, T., Kilham, J. & McLeod, K. M. Cultural influences on dietary self-management of type 2 diabetes in East Asian Americans: a mixed-methods systematic review. *Health Equity* 4, 31–42 (2020).
- Agadullina, E., Lovakov, A., Balezina, M. & Gulevich, O. A. Ambivalent sexism and violence toward women: a meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Soc. Psychol.* 52, 819–859 (2022).
- Baier, C. J. & Wright, B. R. E. "If you love me, keep my commandments": a meta-analysis of the effect of religion on crime. J. Res. Crime. Delinquency 38, 3–21 (2001).
- Gonçalves, J. P. et al. The role of religiosity and spirituality in interpersonal violence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Braz. J. Psychiatry* 45, 162–181 (2022).
- Cheung, C. K. & Yeung, J. W. K. Meta-analysis of relationships between religiosity and constructive and destructive behaviors among adolescents. *Child. Youth Serv. Rev.* 33, 376–385 (2011).
- Martens, J. P. & Rutjens, B. T. Spirituality and religiosity contribute to ongoing COVID-19 vaccination rates: comparing 195 regions around the world. *Vaccine X.* 12, 100241 (2022).
- Bicchieri, C. Norms in the Wild: How to Diagnose, Measure, and Change Social Norms 1–246 (Psychology Press, 2017).
- Wilhite, H., Nakagami, H., Masuda, T., Yamaga, Y. & Haneda, H. A cross-cultural analysis of household energy use behaviour in Japan and Norway. *Energy Policy* 24, 795–803 (1996).
- Weisberg-Shapiro, P. & Devine, C. M. "Because we missed the way that we eat at the middle of the day": dietary acculturation and food routines among Dominican women. *Appetite* **95**, 293–302 (2015).

- Backett, K. C. & Davison, C. Lifecourse and lifestyle: the social and cultural location of health behaviours. Soc. Sci. Med. 40, 629–638 (1995).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Tobacco statistics. cdc.gov, https://www. cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/index.htm (accessed 9 April 2024).
- Padilla A. M. Acculturation: Theory, Models, and Some New Findings (Westview, 1980).
- Anderson, N. B., Bulatao, R. A. & Cohen, B., National Research Council (US) Panel on Race, Ethnicity, and Health in Later Life. *Critical Perspectives on Racial and Ethnic* Differences in Health in Late Life (National Academies Press, 2004).
- Winkleby, M. A. & Cubbin, C. Influence of individual and neighbourhood socioeconomic status on mortality among black, Mexican-American, and white women and men in the United States. J. Epidemiol. Community Health. 57, 444–452 (2003).
- Hall, G. C., Yip, T. & Zárate, M. A. On becoming multicultural in a monocultural research world: a conceptual approach to studying ethnocultural diversity. Am. Psychol. 71, 40–51 (2016).
- Balci, S., Spanhel, K., Sander, L. B. & Baumeister, H. Culturally adapting internet- and mobile-based health promotion interventions might not be worth the effort: a systematic review and meta-analysis. NPJ Digit. Med 5, 34 (2022).
- Herbst, J. H. et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of behavioral interventions to reduce HIV risk behaviors of hispanics in the United States and Puerto Rico. *AIDS Behav.* 11, 25–47 (2007).
- Hernandez Robles, E., Maynard, B. R., Salas-Wright, C. P. & Todic, J. Culturally adapted substance use interventions for Latino adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Res. Soc. Work. Pract.* 28, 789–801 (2018).
- Dweck, C. S. & Yeager, D. S. Mindsets: a view from two eras. Perspect. Psychol. Sci. 14, 481–496 (2019).
- Yeager, D. S. & Dweck, C. S. Mindsets that promote resilience: when students believe that personal characteristics can be developed. *Educ. Psychol.* 47, 302–314 (2012).
- Dweck, C. S. Mindsets: how to motivate students (and yourself). Educ. Horiz. 91, 16–21 (2016).
- Tannenbaum, M. B. et al. Appealing to fear: a meta-analysis of fear appeal effectiveness and theories. *Psychol. Bull.* 141, 1178–1204 (2015).
- Rosenstock, I. M. The health belief model and personal health behavior. *Health Educ*. Monographs 2, 324–473 (1974).
- Rosenstock, I. M. The health belief model and preventive health behavior. *Health Educ. Behav.* https://doi.org/10.1177/109019817400200405 (1977).
- 106. Patterson, N. M., Bates, B. R., Chadwick, A. E., Nieto-Sanchez, C. & Grijalva, M. J. Using the health belief model to identify communication opportunities to prevent Chagas disease in southern Ecuador. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **12**, e0006841 (2018).
- Zhao, Y., Jiang, Y., Zhang, W. & Zhu, Y. Relationship between risk perception, emotion, and coping behavior during public health emergencies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Systems 11, 181 (2023).
- Hareli, S. & Parkinson, B. What's social about social emotions? J. Theory Soc. Behav. 38, 131–156 (2008).
- 109. Sznycer, D., Sell, A. & Lieberman, D. Forms and functions of the social emotions. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 30, 292–299 (2021).
- Sárbescu, P. & Rusu, A. Personality predictors of speeding: anger-aggression and impulsive-sensation seeking. A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Saf. Res. 77, 86–98 (2021).
- Akbari, M. et al. Meta-analysis of the correlation between personality characteristics and risky driving behaviors. J. Inj. Violence Res. 11, 107–122 (2019).
- Li, M., Xu, X. & Kwan, H. K. The antecedents and consequences of workplace envy: a meta-analytic review. Asia Pac. J. Manag. 40, 1–35 (2023).
- Bamberg, S. & Möser, G. Twenty years after Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera: a new metaanalysis of psycho-social determinants of pro-environmental behaviour. J. Env. Psychol. 27, 14–25 (2007).
- Renshaw, T. L. & Steeves, R. M. O. What good is gratitude in youth and schools? A systematic review and meta-analysis of correlates and intervention outcomes. *Psychol. Sch.* 53, 286–305 (2016).
- Montoya, R. M., Kershaw, C. & Prosser, J. L. A meta-analytic investigation of the relation between interpersonal attraction and enacted behavior. *Psychol. Bull.* **144**, 673–709 (2018).
- Talaska, C. A., Fiske, S. T. & Chaiken, S. Legitimating racial discrimination: emotions, not beliefs, best predict discrimination in a meta-analysis. Soc. Justice Res. 21, 263–296 (2008).
- Kranzbühler, A. M., Zerres, A., Kleijnen, M. H. P. & Verlegh, P. W. J. Beyond valence: a meta-analysis of discrete emotions in firm–customer encounters. J. Acad. Mark. Sci. 48, 478–498 (2020).
- Lench, H. C., Flores, S. A. & Bench, S. W. Discrete emotions predict changes in cognition, judgment, experience, behavior, and physiology: a meta-analysis of experimental emotion elicitations. *Psychol. Bull.* 37, 834–855 (2011).
- Evers, C., Dingemans, A., Junghans, A. F. & Boevé, A. Feeling bad or feeling good, does emotion affect your consumption of food? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 92, 195–208 (2018).
- Li, S. X., Ye, Z., Whelan, K. & Truby, H. The effect of communicating the genetic risk of cardiometabolic disorders on motivation and actual engagement in preventative lifestyle modification and clinical outcome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Br. J. Nutr. 116, 924–934 (2016).

- White, B. X. & Albarracín, D. Investigating belief falsehood. Fear appeals do change behaviour in experimental laboratory studies. A commentary on Kok et al. (2018). *Health Psychol. Rev.* 12, 147–150 (2018).
- Peters, G. J. Y., Ruiter, R. A. C. & Kok, G. Threatening communication: a critical re-analysis and a revised meta-analytic test of fear appeal theory. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 7, S8–S31 (2013).
- 123. Sheeran, P., Harris, P. R. & Epton, T. Does heightening risk appraisals change people's intentions and behavior? A meta-analysis of experimental studies. *Psychol. Bull.* 140, 511–543 (2014).
- Constantino, S. M., Pianta, S., Rinscheid, A., Frey, R. & Weber, E. U. The source is the message: the impact of institutional signals on climate change-related norm perceptions and behaviors. *Clim. Change* 166, 1–20 (2021).
- Dickens, L. R. Using gratitude to promote positive change: a series of meta-analyses investigating the effectiveness of gratitude interventions. *Basic. Appl. Soc. Psych.* 39, 193–208 (2017).
- Lechuga, J., Prieto, C., Mata, H., Belknap, R. A. & Varela, I. Culture and sexuality-related communication as sociocultural precursors of HPV vaccination among mother-daughter dyads of Mexican descent. *Prev. Med. Rep.* 9, 101105 (2020).
- Ajzen, I. & Madden, T. J. Prediction of goal directed behavior: attitudes, intentions, and perceived behavioral control. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 22, 453–474 (1986).
- Plata, M. G., Laghi, F., Zammuto, M. & Pastorelli, C. Refusal self-efficacy and alcoholrelated behaviours in community samples: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Curr. Psychol.* 42 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03954-7 (2023).
- 129. Yang, X. Y., Li, Z. J. & Sun, J. Effects of cognitive behavioral therapy-based intervention on improving glycaemic, psychological, and physiological outcomes in adult patients with diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front. Psychiatry* **11**, 711 (2020).
- Albarracin, D. et al. Persuasive communications to change actions: an analysis of behavioral and cognitive impact in HIV prevention. *Health Psychol.* 22, 166–177 (2003).
- Duncan, T. E., Duncan, S. C., Beauchamp, N., Wells, J. & Ary, D. V. Development and evaluation of an interactive CD-ROM refusal skills program to prevent youth substance use: "refuse to use". J. Behav. Med. 23, 59–72 (2000).
- Scheier, L. M., Botvin, G. J., Diaz, T. & Griffin, K. W. Social skills, competence, and drug refusal efficacy as predictors of adolescent alcohol use. J. Drug. Educ. 29, 251–278 (1999).
- Wynn, S. R., Schulenberg, J., Maggs, J. L. & Zucker, R. A. Preventing alcohol misuse: the impact of refusal skills and norms. *Psychol. Addict. Behav.* 14, 36–47 (2000).
- 134. St Kelly, J. A. et al. Community AIDS/HIV risk reduction: the effects of endorsements by popular people in three cities. Am. J. Public. Health 82, 1483–1489 (1992).
- Gause, N. K., Brown, J. L., Welge, J. & Northern, N. Meta-analyses of HIV prevention interventions targeting improved partner communication: effects on partner communication and condom use frequency outcomes. J. Behav. Med. 41, 423–440 (2018).
- 136. Arthur, W., Bennett, W., Edens, P. S. & Bell, S. T. Effectiveness of training in organizations: a meta-analysis of design and evaluation features. J. Appl. Psychol. **88**, 234–245 (2003).
- Takacs, Z. K. & Kassai, R. The efficacy of different interventions to foster children's executive function skills: a series of meta-analyses. *Psychol. Bull.* 145, 653–697 (2019).
- Albarracin, D., Fishbein, M., Johnson, B. T. & Muellerleile, P. A. Theories of reasoned action and planned behavior as models of condom use: a meta-analysis. *Psychol. Bull.* 127, 142–161 (2001).
- Glasman, L. R. & Albarracín, D. Forming attitudes that predict future behavior: a meta-analysis of the attitude-behavior relation. *Psychol. Bull.* 132, 778–822 (2006).
- 140. Starfelt Sutton, L. C. et al. Predicting sun-protective intentions and behaviours using the theory of planned behaviour: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol. Health* **31**, 1272–1292 (2016).
- Lanzini, P. & Khan, S. A. Shedding light on the psychological and behavioral determinants of travel mode choice: a meta-analysis. *Transp. Res. Part. F. Traffic Psychol. Behav.* 48, 13–27 (2017).
- 142. Scalco, A., Noventa, S., Sartori, R. & Ceschi, A. Predicting organic food consumption: a meta-analytic structural equation model based on the theory of planned behavior. *Appetite* **112**, 235–248 (2017).
- 143. Albarracín, D. et al. When communications collide with recipients' actions: effects of post-message behavior on intentions to follow the message recommendation. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 29, 834–845 (2003).
- 144. Magill, M. et al. The technical hypothesis of motivational interviewing: a meta-analysis of MI's key causal model. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 82, 973–983 (2014).
- Miller, W. R. & Rose, G. S. Toward a theory of motivational interviewing. Am. Psychol 64, 527–537 (2009).
- Albarracín, D. Cognition in persuasion: an analysis of information processing in response to persuasive communications. Adv. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 34, 61–130 (2002).
- Albarracin, D. & Wyer, R. S. Jr Elaborative and nonelaborative processing of a behavior-related communication. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 27, 691–705 (2001).
- Ouellette, J. A. & Wood, W. Habit and intention in everyday life: the multiple processes by which past behavior predicts future behavior. *Psychol. Bull.* 124, 54–74 (1998).
- 149. Wood, W. & Neal, D. T. A new look at habits and the habit–goal interface. *Psychol. Rev.* 114, 843–863 (2007).
- Wood, W. & Rünger, D. Psychology of habit. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 67, 289–314 (2016).
 Orbell, S. & Verplanken, B. The strength of habit. Health Psychol. Rev. 9, 311–317
- Orbell, S. & Verplanken, B. The strength of habit. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 9, 311–317 (2015).

- Dimatteo, M. R. Social support and patient adherence to medical treatment: a meta-analysis. Health Psychol. 23, 207–218 (2004).
- Carron, A. V., Hausenblas, H. A. & Mack, D. Social influence and exercise: a meta-analysis. J. Sport. Exerc. Psychol. 18, 1–16 (1996).
- Andresen, P. A. & Telleen, S. L. The relationship between social support and maternal behaviors and attitudes: a meta-analytic review. *Am. J. Community Psychol.* 20, 753–774 (1992).
- Potthoff, S. et al. The relationship between habit and healthcare professional behaviour in clinical practice: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 13, 73–90 (2019).
- 156. Gardner, B. & Abraham, C. Psychological correlates of car use: a meta-analysis. Trans. Res. Part. F—Traffic Psychol. Behav. 11, 300–311 (2008).
- McGuire, J. F. et al. A meta-analysis of behavior therapy for Tourette syndrome. J. Psychiatr. Res. 50, 106–112 (2014).
- Wile, D. J. & Pringsheim, T. M. Behavior therapy for Tourette syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Curr. Treat. Options Neurol.* 15, 385–395 (2013).
- Wolz, I., Nannt, J. & Svaldi, J. Laboratory-based interventions targeting food craving: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes. Rev. 21, e12996 (2020).
- 160. Turton, R., Bruidegom, K., Cardi, V., Hirsch, C. R. & Treasure, J. Novel methods to help develop healthier eating habits for eating and weight disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 61, 132–155 (2016).
- Asch, D. A. & Rosin, R. Engineering social incentives for health. N. Engl. J. Med. 375, 2511–2513 (2016).
- 162. Brehm, J. W. A Theory of Psychological Reactance (Academic, 1966).
- Brehm, J. Responses to Loss of Freedom: A Theory of Psychological Reactance (General Learning, 1972).
- 164. Trang, S. & Brendel, B. A meta-analysis of deterrence theory in information security policy compliance research. Inf. Syst. Front. 21, 1265–1284 (2019).
- Navin, M. C. et al. Recent vaccine mandates in the United States, Europe and Australia: a comparative study. Vaccine 36, 7377–7384 (2018).
- Largent, E. A. et al. US public attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccine mandates. JAMA Netw. Open. 3, 2019–2022 (2020).
- Albarracin, D., Jung, H., Song, W., Tan, A. & Fishman, J. Rather than inducing psychological reactance, requiring vaccination strengthens intentions to vaccinate in US populations. Sci. Rep. 11, 1–9 (2021).
- Hovland, C. I. & Weiss, W. The influence of source credibility on communication effectiveness. *Public. Opin. Q.* 15, 635–650 (1951).
- Kumkale, G. T. & Albarracín, D. The sleeper effect in persuasion: a meta-analytic review. Psychol. Bull. 130, 143–172 (2004).
- Kumkale, G. T., Albarracín, D. & Seignourel, P. J. The effects of source credibility in the presence or absence of prior attitudes: implications for the design of persuasive communication campaigns. J. Appl. Soc. Psychol. 40, 1325–1356 (2010).
- Albarracín, D., Kumkale, G. T. & Johnson, B. T. Influences of social power and normative support on condom use decisions: a research synthesis. AIDS Care 16, 700–723 (2004).
- Albarracín, D., Kumkale, G. T. T. & Vento, P. P. D. How people can become persuaded by weak messages presented by credible communicators: not all sleeper effects are created equal. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 68, 171–180 (2017).
- Durantini, M. R., Albarracin, D., Mitchell, A. L., Earl, A. N. & Gillette, J. C. Conceptualizing the influence of social agents of behavior change: a meta-analysis of the effectiveness of HIV-prevention interventionists for different groups. *Psychol. Bull.* **132**, 212–248 (2006).
- Albarracín, D., Durantini, M. R. & Earl, A. N. E. Empirical and theoretical concludions of an analysis of outcomes of HIV-prevention interventions. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 15, 73–78 (2006).
- Balliet, D., Wu, J. & De Dreu, C. K. W. Ingroup favoritism in cooperation: a meta-analysis. Psychol. Bull. 140, 1556–1581 (2014).
- De Jong, B. A., Dirks, K. T. & Gillespie, N. Trust and team performance: a meta-analysis of main effects, moderators, and covariates. J. Appl. Psychol. 101, 1134–1150 (2016).
- Legood, A., van der Werff, L., Lee, A. & Den Hartog, D. A meta-analysis of the role of trust in the leadership-performance relationship. *Eur. J. Work. Organ. Psychol.* **30**, 1–22 (2021).
- Cologna, V. & Siegrist, M. The role of trust for climate change mitigation and adaptation behaviour: a meta-analysis. J. Env. Psychol. 69, 101428 (2020).
- Devine, D. et al. Political trust in the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic: a meta-analysis of 67 studies. J. Eur. Public Policy https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2023.2169741 (2023).
- Mosley, M. The Malleability of Trust in the Backdrop of Disparities: A Meta-Analysis of Experimental Interventions Building Trust in Healthcare Settings. Bachelor's thesis, Univ. Illinois (2020).
- Cohen-Charash, Y. & Spector, P. E. The role of justice in organizations: a meta-analysis. Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process. 86, 278–321 (2001).
- Lee, C. M., Geisner, I. M., Lewis, M. A., Neighbors, C. & Larimer, M. E. Social motives and the interaction between descriptive and injunctive norms in college student drinking. J. Stud. Alcohol. Drugs 68, 714–721 (2007).
- Cialdini, R. B. & Goldstein, N. J. Social influence: compliance and conformity. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 55, 591–621 (2004).
- Sunguya, B. F., Munisamy, M., Pongpanich, S., Yasuoka, J. & Jimba, M. Ability of HIV advocacy to modify behavioral norms and treatment impact: a systematic review. *Am. J. Public. Health* **106**, E1–E8 (2016).
- Rhodes, N., Shulman, H. C. & McClaran, N. Changing norms: a meta-analytic integration of research on social norms appeals. *Hum. Commun. Res.* 46, 161–191 (2020).

- Abrahamse, W. & Steg, L. Social influence approaches to encourage resource conservation: a meta-analysis. *Glob. Environ. Change* 23, 1773–1785 (2013).
- Prentice, D. A. & Miller, D. T. Pluralistic ignorance and the perpetuation of social norms by unwitting actors. Adv. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 28, 161–209 (1996).
- Schroeder, C. M. & Prentice, D. A. Exposing pluralistic ignorance to reduce alcohol use among college students. J. Appl. Soc. Psychol. 28, 2150–2180 (1998).
- Fishbach, A. & Trope, Y. The substitutability of external control and self-control. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 41, 256–270 (2005).
- Shea, S., DuMouchel, W. & Bahamonde, L. A meta-analysis of 16 randomized controlled trials to evaluate computer-based clinical reminder systems for preventive care in the ambulatory setting. J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc. 3, 399–409 (1996).
- Yamin, P., Fei, M., Lahlou, S. & Levy, S. Using social norms to change behavior and increase sustainability in the real world: a systematic review of the literature. Sustainability 11, 5847 (2019).
- Dotson, K. B., Dunn, M. E. & Bowers, C. A. Stand-alone personalized normative feedback for college student drinkers: a meta-analytic review, 2004 to 2014. *PLoS ONE* 10, 1–17 (2015).
- Chun, J. S., Brockner, J. & De Cremer, D. How temporal and social comparisons in performance evaluation affect fairness perceptions. Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process. 145, 1–15 (2018).
- Nolan, J. M. Social norm interventions as a tool for pro-climate change. Curr. Opin. Psychol. 42, 120–125 (2021).
- Tong, H. L. & Laranjo, L. The use of social features in mobile health interventions to promote physical activity: a systematic review. NPJ Digit. Med. 1 https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41746-018-0051-3 (2018).
- Morgan, H. et al. Benefits of incentives for breastfeeding and smoking cessation in pregnancy (BIBS): a mixed-methods study to inform trial design. *Health Technol. Assess* 19 https://doi.org/10.3310/hta19300 (2015).
- Li, H., Wang, C., Chang, W. Y. & Liu, H. Factors affecting Chinese farmers' environmentfriendly pesticide application behavior: a meta-analysis. *J Clean Prod.* 409, 137277 (2023).
- Baum, W. M. Understanding Behaviorism: Science, Behavior, and Culture (Harpercollins College Division, 1994).
- Greene, D., Demeter, C. & Dolnicar, S. The comparative effectiveness of interventions aimed at making tourists behave in more environmentally sustainable ways: a meta-analysis. J. Travel Res. https://doi.org/10.1177/00472875231183701 (2023).
- Bolívar, H. A. et al. Contingency management for patients receiving medication for opioid use disorder a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 78, 1092–1102 (2021).
- Turner, R. J., Frankel, B. G. & Levin, D. M. Social support: conceptualization, measurement, and implications for mental health. Res. Community Ment. Health 3, 67–111 (1983).
- 202. Shushtari, Z. J., Salimi, Y., Sajjadi, H. & Paykani, T. Effect of social support interventions on adherence to antiretroviral therapy among people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS Behav. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-022-03894-0 (2022).
- Hou, X. et al. Methods and efficacy of social support interventions in preventing suicide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Evid. Based Ment. Health 25, 29–35 (2022).
- 204. Kiesler, C. A. The Psychology of Commitment (Academic, 1971). 205. Cannella, B. L., Yarcheski, A. & Mahon, N. E. Meta-analyses of predictors of health
- 205. Cannella, B. L., Yarcheski, A. & Mahon, N. L. Meta-analyses of predictors of health practices in pregnant women. West. J. Nurs. Res. 40, 425–446 (2018).
- Vaessen, J. et al. The effects of microcredit on women's control over household spending in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Campbell Syst. Rev.* 10, 1–205 (2014).
- Moreno, R. et al. Structural and community-level interventions for increasing condom use to prevent the transmission of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003363.pub3 (2014).
- Jachimowicz, J. M., Duncan, S., Weber, E. U. & Johnson, E. J. When and why defaults influence decisions: a meta-analysis of default effects. *Behav. Public. Policy* 3, 159–186 (2019).
- White, B. X., Jiang, D. & Albarracín, D. The limits of defaults: the influence of decision time on default effects. Soc. Cogn. 39, 543–569 (2021).
- Wagenaar, A. C. & Toomey, T. L. Effects of minimum drinking age laws: review and analyses of the literature from 1960 to 2000. J. Stud. Alcohol. 63, 206–225 (2002).
- 211. Hedges, L. & Olkin, I. Statistical Methods for Meta-Analysis (Academic Press, 1985).
- 212. Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T. & Rothstein, H. R. Introduction to Meta-Analysis (Wiley, 2009).
- Jemmott, L. S. & Jemmot, J. D. Sexual knowledge attitudes and risky sexual behavior among inner city black male adolescents. J. Adolesc. Res. 5, 346–369 (1990).
- Rhoades, B. L., Greenberg, M. T. & Domitrovich, C. E. The contribution of inhibitory control to preschoolers' social-emotional competence. J. Appl. Dev. Psychol. 30, 310–320 (2009).
- Ajzen, I., Fishbein, M., Lohmann, S. & Albarracín, D. in *The Handbook of Attitudes, Volume 1: Basic Principles* 2nd ed. (eds Albarracín, D. & Johnson, B. T.) 197–225 (Routledge, 2018).
- Schultz, P. W. & Oskamp, S. Effort as a moderator of the attitude-behavior relationship: general environmental concern and recycling. *Quarterly* 59, 375–383 (1996).
- Ostafin, B. D., Marlatt, G. A. & Greenwald, A. G. Drinking without thinking: an implicit measure of alcohol motivation predicts failure to control alcohol use. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 46, 1210–1219 (2008).
- Albarracin, D. Action and Inaction in a Social World: Predicting and Changing Attitudes and Behaviors (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2021).

- Bierwiaczonek, K., Kunst, J. R. & Pich, O. Belief in COVID-19 conspiracy theories reduces social distancing over time. *Appl. Psychol. Health Well Being* 12, 1270–1285 (2020).
- 220. Feng, Y. & Tong, Q. Exploring the mediating role of situation awareness and crisis emotions between social media use and COVID-19 protective behaviors: cross-sectional study. Front. Public Health 10, 793033 (2022).
- Terry, D. J. & Hogg, M. A. Group norms and the attitude behavior relationship: a role for group identification. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 22, 776–793 (1996).
- Gollwitzer, P. M. Implementation intentions: strong effects of simple plans. Am. Psychol. 54, 493–503 (1999).
- Wieber, F., Thuermer, J. L. & Gollwitzer, P. M. Promoting the translation of intentions into action by implementation intentions: behavioral effects and physiological correlates. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 9, 395 (2015).
- Fornara, F., Carrus, G., Passafaro, P. & Bonnes, M. Distinguishing the sources of normative influence on proenvironmental behaviors: the role of local norms in household waste recycling. Group. Process. Intergroup Relat. 14, 623–635 (2011).
- 225. Bargh, J. A. in Handbook of Social Cognition (ed. Wyer R. S.) 1–40 (Lawrence Erlbaum, 1994).
- Neal, D. T., Wood, W., Labrecque, J. S. & Lally, P. How do habits guide behavior? Perceived and actual triggers of habits in daily life. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 48, https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.jesp.2011.10.011 (2012).
- 227. Gillebaart, M., Ybema, J. F. & de Ridder, D. T. D. Make it a habit: how habit strength, goal importance and self-control predict hand washing behaviour over time during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychol. Health* **37**, 1528–1546 (2022).
- 228. Fayaz-Farkhad, B., Jung, H. A., Calabrese, C. J. & Albarracin, D. A culture of vaccination: how state policies produce social norms. *Sci Rep.* 13, 21227 (2023).
- 229. Lemstra, M., Neudorf, C. & Opondo, J. Implications of a public smoking ban. *Can. J. Public. Health* **99**, 62–65 (2008).
- Shaw, J. et al. Immunization mandates, vaccination coverage, and exemption rates in the United States. Open. Forum Infect. Dis. 5, 1–9 (2018).
- Maclean, J. C., Pichler, S. & Ziebarth, N. R. Mandated Sick Pay: Coverage, Utilization, and Welfare Effects (Working Paper 2832) (National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020).
- Fairbrother, M. When will people pay to pollute? Environmental taxes, political trust and experimental evidence from britain. Br. J. Polit. Sci. 49, 661–682 (2019).
- De Cremer, D. & Tyler, T. R. The effects of trust in authority and procedural fairness on cooperation. J. Appl. Psychol. 92, 639–649 (2007).
- Peyton, K., Sierra-Arévalo, M. & Rand, D. G. A field experiment on community policing and police legitimacy. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 116, 19894–19898 (2019).
- Fishbein, M., Higgins, D., Wolitski, R., Guenther-Grey, C. & Johnson, W. Communitylevel HIV intervention in 5 cities: final outcome data from the CDC AIDS community demonstration projects. Am. J. Public. Health 89, 336–345 (1999).
- Burger, J. M. & Shelton, M. Changing everyday health behaviors through descriptive norm manipulations. Soc. Influ. 6, 69–77 (2011).
- Bruera, S., Barbo, A. G. & Lopez-Olivo, M. A. Use of medication reminders in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol. Int.* 36, 1543–1548 (2016).
- Szilagyi, P. G. et al. Text message reminders for child influenza vaccination in the setting of school-located influenza vaccination: a randomized clinical trial. *Clin. Pediatr.* 58, 428–436 (2019).
- Schultz, P. W., Nolan, J. M., Cialdini, R. B., Goldstein, N. J. & Griskevicius, V. The constructive, destructive, and reconstructive power of social norms. *Psychol. Sci.* 18, 429–434 (2007).

- Kearney, M. S. State lotteries and consumer behavior. J. Public. Econ. 89, 2269–2299 (2005).
- Campos-Mercade, P. et al. Monetary incentives increase COVID-19 vaccinations. Sci. 374, 879–882 (2021).
- Veiel, H. O. F. The Mannheim interview on social support. Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. 25, 250–259 (1990).
- Keller, C. et al. A comparison of a social support physical activity intervention in weight management among post-partum Latinas. BMC Public. Health 14, 971 (2014).
- Owens, J., Dickerson, S. & Macintosh, D. L. Demographic covariates of residential recycling efficiency. *Env. Behav.* 32, 637–650 (2000).
- Fayaz Farkhad, B., Karan, A. & Albarracín, D. Longitudinal pathways to influenza vaccination vary with socio-structural disadvantages. Ann. Behav. Med. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/abm/kaab087 (2021).
- Maciejewski, M. L., Farley, J. F., Parker, J. & Wansink, D. Copayment reductions generate greater medication adherence in targeted patients. *Health Aff.* 29, 2002–2008 (2010).
- 247. Gaffney, A. & McCormick, D. The affordable care act: implications for health-care equity. *Lancet.* **389**, 1442–1452 (2017).
- Fouksman, E. & Klein, E. Radical transformation or technological intervention? Two paths for universal basic income. World Dev. 122, 492–500 (2019).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank M. Leung for assistance in checking effect sizes and references. The research was funded by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01MH132415, R01 Al147487, DP1 DA048570, R01 MH114847 and NSF 2031972 to D.A., and by the Annenberg Foundation Endowment to the Division of Communication Science at the Annenberg Public Policy Center.

Author contributions

All authors researched data for the article and extracted effect sizes. All authors contributed substantially to discussion of the content. D.A. wrote the main sections of the article and all authors contributed to the first draft and all revisions. All authors reviewed and/or edited the manuscript before submission.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

 $\label{eq:superior} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Supplementary information} \ The online version contains supplementary material available at \\ \ https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-024-00305-0. \end{array}$

Peer review information Nature Reviews Psychology thanks Taciano Milfont, Richard Petty and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author selfarchiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

© Springer Nature America, Inc. 2024